

# EXTENSIONS OF THE PERFECT LATTICE $P_6^6$

JACQUES MARTINET (\*)

ABSTRACT. We construct two families  $L_n, L'_n$  of perfect lattices which extend beyond dimension 6 the six-dimensional perfect lattice  $P_6^6$ , with  $L'_n \simeq L_n$  if  $n$  is even, but not if  $n$  is odd.

## INTRODUCTION

We denote by  $E$  a Euclidean space, of dimension  $n \geq 2$ . Given a lattice  $\Lambda \subset E$ , its *minimum* is  $\min \Lambda = \min_{x \in \Lambda \setminus \{0\}} x \cdot x$ . We denote by  $S(\Lambda)$  its set of *minimal vectors* (those with  $x \cdot x = \min \Lambda$ ) and set  $s(\Lambda) = \frac{1}{2} |S(\Lambda)|$ .

We refer to [M], in particular to chapters 1 and 3 for general definitions relative to (Euclidean) lattices, including the perfection and eutaxy properties.

Perfect lattices in dimensions  $n = 2$  to  $7$  are defined up to similarity by an integral, primitive matrix  $P_n^i$ , with  $i = 1, \dots, 7$  if  $n = 6$  and  $i = 1, \dots, 33$  if  $n = 7$ . In dimension 8 I shall use the notation  $p8dk[i]$  of my homepage, where  $k \in [2, 7]$  defines the family of perfect lattices having a  $k$ -dimensional perfect section with the same minimum, but none of dimension  $k + 1$  (if  $k < 7$ ); we shall essentially need the case when  $k = 7$ , where  $i$  may take 1175 values, defining lattices of decreasing density from  $p8d7[1] \simeq \mathbb{E}_8$  to  $p8d7[1175] \simeq \mathbb{A}_8$ .  $A \setminus B$

The lattice  $P_6^5$  was discovered in 1957 by Barnes when he established the classification of 6-dimensional perfect lattices. He then constructed a series  $P_n$  extending extending  $P_6 := P_6^5$  beyond dimension 6; see [B1], [B2], and [M], Section 5.3. In this note we construct analogues of Barnes's  $P_n$  relatively to  $P_6^6$ .

The lattice  $P_6^6$  can be defined by the Gram matrix

$$P_6^6 = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 4 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 4 & 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 & 4 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 4 & \end{pmatrix}.$$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11H55, 11H71.

*Key words and phrases.* Euclidean lattices, perfect forms

(\*) Jacques.Martinet@math.cnrs.fr – April 2016.

Consider for  $n \geq 2$  the matrix  $B'_n(X)$  with entries in the polynomial ring  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ , equal to 4 on the diagonal and to 2 off the diagonal, except  $B_{i,i+1} = B_{i+1,i} = X$ , and set  $A'_n = B_n(1)$ . Then  $A'_6$  is the Gram matrix for  $P_6^6$  displayed above. It will turn out that the matrices  $A'_n$  are positive, definite, hence can be viewed as Gram matrices for lattices  $L'_n$  in a convenient basis  $\mathcal{B}'_n = (e_1, \dots, e_n)$ . Clearly  $L'_n$  is the cross-section of  $L'_{n+1}$  by the hyperplane orthogonal to the vector  $e'^*_{n+1}$  of the dual basis to  $\mathcal{B}'$ .

We then define  $L_n$  to be  $L'_n$  if  $n$  is even, and the orthogonal of  $e'^*_1 + \dots + e'^*_n$  in  $L_{n+1}$  if  $n$  is odd.

Explicit calculations with *PARI-GP* show that we have the following isomorphisms:

$$L_5 \simeq P_5^2, L_6 \simeq P_6^6, L_7 \simeq P_7^{27}, L'_7 \simeq P_7^{32} \text{ and } L_8 \simeq p8d7[1168].$$

**Theorem 0.1.** *The lattices  $L_n$ ,  $n \geq 5$ , and  $L'_n$ ,  $n \geq 6$ , are extreme.*

This means that the Hermite invariant  $(\gamma(L) := \frac{\min L}{\det(L)})$  attains a local maximum at  $L_n$  and  $L'_n$ . This is equivalent to *perfection* and *eutaxy*. That the lattices  $L_n$  and  $L'_n$  are perfect (resp. eutactic) will be proved in Section 2 (resp. 3). Basics facts concerning perfection and eutaxy are recalled in Subsection 3.2.

## 1. COMPUTATION OF DETERMINANTS

**Lemma 1.1.** *The determinant of  $B'_n(X)$  are as follows:*

$$(n \geq 2 \text{ even}) \det(B'(X)) = (-1)^{n/2} (X - 4)^{n/2} X^{(n-2)/2} (X + 2n);$$

$$(n \geq 3 \text{ odd}) \det(B'(X)) = 4(-1)^{(n-1)/2} (X - 4)^{(n-1)/2} X^{(n-3)/2} (X + n - 1).$$

*Proof.* Denote by  $R_1, \dots, R_n$  the rows of the matrix  $B'$ , by  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  its determinant.

We first consider even dimensions. Since  $X$  occurs once in each row and column of  $B(X)$ ,  $f$  has degree  $n$  and leading coefficient  $a_n = \pm 1$ . Developing the determinant along the first two rows shows the relation  $a_n X^n = (-X^2) a_{n-2} X^{n-2}$ , hence  $a_n = (-1)^{n/2}$ . Since the combinations  $R_{i+1} - R_i$ ,  $i \geq 1$  odd (resp.  $R_{i+1} + R_i - R_1 - R_2$ ,  $i \geq 3$  odd) are zero for  $X = 4$  (resp. for  $X = 0$ ),  $f$  is divisible by  $(X - 4)^{n/2}$  and  $X^{(n-2)/2}$ , hence of the form

$$(-1)^{n/2} (X - 4)^{n/2} X^{(n-2)/2} (X + \alpha);$$

and since the components of  $\sum_i R_i$  are all equal to  $X + 2n$ , we have  $\alpha = -2n$ .

Similar arguments show that for odd  $n$ ,  $f$  has leading coefficient  $4(-1)^{(n-1)/2}$ , and that 4, 0 are roots of  $f$ , of multiplicities  $\frac{n-1}{2}$  and  $\frac{n-3}{2}$ ,

respectively. The combination  $R_1 + \cdots + R_{n-1} - (n-1)R_n$  shows that  $B'$  is also divisible by  $X + (n-1)$ , which proves the lemma for  $B'_n$ ,  $n$  odd.  $\square$

Lemma 1.1 shows that the  $B'_n(x)$  have a positive determinant on  $(0, 4)$ . Since they contain a sequence of principal minors of the form  $B'_k$  for  $k < n$ , these matrices are positive definite on  $(0, 4)$ . In particular the  $A'_n$  are positive definite. This gives sense to the notation  $L'_n$  of the introduction, thus also of  $L_n$ , which is defined as a cross-section of  $L'_n$ .

**Proposition 1.2.** *The determinants of the lattices of the families  $L_n$  and  $L'_n$  are as follows:*

- (1)  $\det(L_n) = \det(L'_n) = (2n+1)3^{n/2}$  ( $n$  even).
- (2)  $\det(L_n) = (n+1)3^{(n+1)/2}$  ( $n$  odd).
- (3)  $\det(L'_n) = 4n3^{(n-1)/2}$  ( $n$  odd).

*Proof.* Assertions (1) and (3) are direct consequences of Lemma 1.1.

To prove (2) consider the matrix  $A'' = (a''_{i,j})$  with entries  $4(n-1)$  on the diagonal and  $-6$  off the diagonal, except  $a''_{i,i+1} = a''_{i+1,i} = 2n-5$  for  $i = 1, 3, \dots, 2n-1$ , and the all ones vector  $v$ . We then check that

$$A' A'' = 3(2n+1)I_n \quad \text{and} \quad v A''^t v = \sum_{i,j} a''_{i,j} = 3n,$$

which implies

$$A'^{-1} = \frac{1}{3(2n+1)}A'' \quad \text{and} \quad N(e_1^* + \cdots + e_n^*) = \frac{3n}{3(2n+1)} = \frac{n}{2n+1}.$$

This shows that the determinant of the section of  $L_n$  by  $(e_1^* + \cdots + e_n^*)^\perp$  is equal to  $(2n+1)3^{n/2} \times \frac{n}{2n+1} = n3^{n/2}$ , which gives us formula (2) after changing  $n$  into  $n+1$ .  $\square$

## 2. MINIMAL VECTORS

Except in the statements, we assume that  $E$  has even dimension  $n = 2m$ ; the results will then be applied to the hyperplane section  $L_{n-1}$  or the extension  $L'_{n+1}$  of  $L_n$ .

We consider the three sets  $o_1, o_2, o_3$  below of norm 4 vectors, which will be proved to be the orbits under  $\text{Aut}(L_n)$ , the first two of which lie in  $L_{n-1}$ :

- $o_1 = \{\pm(e_j - e_i)\}, i < j, j \neq i+1$  if  $i$  is odd.
- $o_2 = \{\pm(e_j + e_{j+1} - e_i - e_{i+1})\}, i, j$  odd,  $i < j$ .
- $o_3 = \{\pm e_i\}$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** *The lattices  $L_n$  have minimum 4, and for every  $n \geq 4$ , their sets of minimal vectors are  $S = o_1 \cup o_2 \cup o_3$  if  $n$  is even, and the union of the sets  $o_1$  and  $o_2$  relative to  $L_{n+1}$  if  $n$  is odd.*

*Proof.* For  $x = \sum_i x_i e_i \in L_n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} N(x) &= 2\left(\left(\sum_i x_i\right)^2 + \sum_i x_i^2 - \sum_{i \text{ odd}} x_i x_{i+1}\right) \\ &= 2\left(\sum_i x_i\right)^2 + \sum_i x_i^2 + \sum_{i \text{ odd}} x_i x_{i+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $x \neq 0$  and denote by  $k$  the number of non-zero components of  $x$ . We shall show that  $N(x) \geq 4$  and that equality holds if and only if  $x$  belongs to one of the sets  $o_i$ . Negating  $x$  if need be, we may assume that  $\sum x_i \geq 0$ . We have  $\sum x_i^2 \geq k$ , so that we may assume that  $k \leq 4$ .

If  $k = 1$  and  $x_i \neq 0$  we have  $N(x) = 4x_i^2 \geq 4$  and equality holds only if  $x = e_i$ .

Let now  $k \geq 2$ . If  $|x_i| \geq 2$  for some  $i$ , we have  $\sum x_i^2 \geq 4 + (k-1) > 4$ , so that we may assume that all  $x_i$  are 0 or  $\pm 1$ .

If  $k = 3$ ,  $\sum x_i$  is odd, and we have  $N(x) \geq 2 + k > 4$ . Hence we have  $k = 2$  or 4,  $\sum x_i$  is even, and if  $\sum x_i \geq 2$ , we have  $N(x) \geq 4 + k \geq 6$ , so that we may assume that  $\sum x_i = 0$ .

If  $k = 2$ , we have  $x_i = \pm 1, x_j = \mp 1$  for to indices  $i, j$ . If  $\{i, j\} = \{i, i+1\}$ ,  $i$  odd, we have  $x_i - x_{i+1} = \pm 2$  and  $N(x) = 6$ . Otherwise,  $x$  belongs to  $o_1$ .

Finally, if  $k = 4$ , we obviously have  $N(x) \geq 4$ , and equality holds if and only if all terms  $x_i - x_{i+1}$  with odd  $i$  are zero, that is, if and only if  $x$  belongs to  $o_2$ .  $\square$

We state without a proof Proposition 2.2 below, which can be proved by similar arguments.

**Proposition 2.2.** *The minimal vectors of the lattices  $L'_{n+1}$  which lie off  $L'_n = L_n$  are  $\pm e_n$  and the  $\pm(e_n - e_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ .*  $\square$

**Corollary 2.3.** *The lattice  $L_n$ ,  $n \geq 5$  and  $L'_n$ ,  $n \geq 6$ , are perfect.*

*Proof.* Recall (see [M], Proposition 3,5,3) that an  $n$ -dimensional lattice having a perfect hyperplane section with the same minimum and containing  $n$  independent minimal vectors off this section is perfect. The two propositions above show that  $L_n \setminus L_{n-1}$  (any  $n$ ) and  $L'_n \setminus L_{n-1}$  ( $n \geq 7$  odd) contain  $n$  independent minimal vectors. Since  $L_5 \simeq P_5^2$  is perfect, so are all lattices  $L_n$  ( $n \geq 5$ ) and  $L'_n$  ( $n \geq 6$ ).  $\square$

**Corollary 2.4.** *The kissing numbers of the lattices  $L_n$  and  $L'_n$  are as follows:*

- (1)  $s(L_n) = s(L'_n) = \frac{n(5n-2)}{8}$  ( $n$  even).
- (2)  $s(L_n) = \frac{5(n^2-1)}{8}$  ( $n$  odd).
- (3)  $s(L'_n) = \frac{5n^2-4n+7}{8}$  ( $n$  odd).

*Proof.* The numbers of minimal vectors results from Propositions 2.1 and 2.2, changing  $n$  into  $n+1$  in case (2) and into  $n-1$  in case (3).  $\square$

### 3. AUTOMORPHISMS AND EUTAXY

**3.1. Automorphisms.** We still assume that  $E$  has even dimension  $n = 2m$ . We let the symmetric group  $\mathfrak{S}_m$  act as a permutation group on  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  by

$$\sigma e_{2i+1} = e_{2\sigma i+1} \text{ and } \sigma e_{2i+2} = e_{2\sigma i+2}.$$

The group  $\mathfrak{S}_m$  acts as a group of automorphisms of  $L_n$ , and so does the larger group  $G_0$  generated by  $\mathfrak{S}_m$  and the  $m$  transpositions  $(i, i+1)$ ,  $i$  odd. We set  $G = \langle G_0, -\text{Id} \rangle$ . This is a semi-direct product:

$$G \simeq C_2 \times (C_2^m \cdot \mathfrak{S}_m).$$

Clearly the sets  $o_i$  are orbits under the action of  $G$  on  $S(L_n)$ , and consideration of the spectrum of minimal vectors (for each  $x$  minimal, the numbers of vectors having a given scalar products with  $x$ ) easily shows that  $G$  is the full automorphism group of  $L_n$  and  $L_{n-1}$  and that the orbits of minimal vectors are  $o_1, o_2, o_3$  on  $L_n$  and  $o_1, o_2$  on  $L_{n-1}$ , except that  $o_1, o_2$  collapse to a single orbit in  $L_5$ , which has a twice larger automorphism group.

The situation is slightly more complicated for  $L'_{n+1}$ . There are four orbits, namely  $o'_1 := o_1$ ,  $o'_3 := o_3$ ,  $o'_4 = \{\pm e'_{n+1}\}$ , and  $o'_2$ , the union of  $o_2$  and the  $\{\pm(e'_{n+1} - e'_i)\}$ , exchanged by a twice bigger automorphism group.

**3.2. Eutaxy.** Denote by  $p_F$  the orthogonal projection onto a subspace  $F$  of  $E$ . For  $x \in E$ , set  $p_x = p_{\mathbb{R}x}$ , and for a lattice  $\Lambda$ , consider the set  $\mathcal{E}_\Lambda := \{p_x, x \in S(\Lambda)/\{\pm\}\}$ . The lattice  $\Lambda$  is *perfect* if  $\mathcal{E}$  is of maximal rank  $(\frac{n(n+1)}{2})$  and *eutactic* if there exists a relation  $\text{Id} = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{E}} \lambda_x p_x$  (a *eutaxy relation*) with strictly positive coefficients  $\lambda_x$ .

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a basis for  $\Lambda$ , let  $A = \text{Gram}(\mathcal{B})$ , and for  $x \in E$ , denote by  $X$  the column of components of  $x \in \mathcal{B}$ . One has  $A = \text{Mat}(\text{Id}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}^*)$ ,  $\text{Mat}(p_x, \mathcal{B}^*, \mathcal{B}) = \frac{1}{x \cdot x} X^t X$ , thus

$$\text{Mat}(p_x, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}) = \frac{1}{x \cdot x} \text{Mat}_{\mathcal{B}^*}(\mathcal{B}) X^t X = \frac{1}{x \cdot x} A X^t X,$$

and the eutaxy relation reads  $I_n = A \sum \lambda_x X^t X$ ,  $A^{-1} = \sum \mu_x X^t X$  with coefficients  $\mu_x$  proportional to the  $\lambda_x$ . (Indeed,  $\mu_x = \frac{\lambda_x}{\min \Lambda}$ .)

An averaging argument shows that for any subgroup  $G$  of  $\text{Aut}(\Lambda)$ , the coefficients  $\lambda_x$  (or  $\mu_x$ ) may be chosen to be constant on orbits of  $G$ . We shall use this remark. We assume that  $n$  is even and consider first  $L_n$ , then  $L_{n-1}$ , and finally  $L'_{n+1}$ .

Set  $M_i = \sum_{x \in o_i/\pm} X^t X$ . Because of the action of  $G$ , it suffices to consider the first three components of  $M_1$ ,  $M_2$ ,  $M_3$  and  $A'^{-1}$ , namely

$$(n-2, 0, -1), \left(\frac{n-2}{2}, \frac{n-2}{2}, -1\right), (1, 0, 0), \text{ and } \frac{1}{3(2n+1)}(4(n-1), 2n-5, -6).$$

The eutaxy coefficients are the the solutions of the linear system

$$\begin{pmatrix} n-2 & \frac{n-2}{2} & 1 \\ 0 & \frac{n-2}{2} & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{3(2n+1)} \begin{pmatrix} 4(n-1) \\ 2n-5 \\ -6 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\text{i.e., } x_1 = \frac{2(n-1)}{3(n-2)(2n+1)}, x_2 = \frac{2(2n-5)}{3(n-2)(2n+1)} \text{ and } x_3 = \frac{1}{2n+1}.$$

We now consider  $L_{n-1}$ . Let  $f = e_1^* + \cdots + e_n^*$  and  $H = f^\perp$ , so that  $L_{n-1} = L_n \cap H$ . A eutaxy relation for  $L_{n-1}$  may be written on the form  $p_H = \sum_{S/\{\pm\}} \lambda_x p_x$ , which allows to calculate in the basis we used for  $L_n$ . Denoting by  $M$  the matrix of  $p_H$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  and working in  $\mathcal{B}^*$  and  $\mathcal{B}$ , the eutaxy relation takes the form  $MA'^{-1} = x_1 M_1 + x_2 M_2$ .

We have  $p_H(x) = x - p_f(x) = x - \frac{c \cdot f}{f \cdot f} f$  and  $f \cdot f = \frac{n}{2n+1}$ . Setting  $A'^{-1} = (\alpha_{i,j})$ , we have  $e_j^* = \sum_k \alpha_{k,j} e_k$  and  $\sum_j \alpha_{k,j} = \frac{1}{2n+1}$ , whence

$$p_H(e_i) = e_i - \frac{2n+1}{n} \sum_j e_j^* = I_n - \frac{1}{n} J$$

where  $J$  is the all ones matrix. This makes easy the calculation of  $MA'^{-1}$ . The result, after changing  $n$  into  $n+1$ , is

$$(x_1, x_2) = \frac{2}{3(n^2-1)} (n-2, \frac{n+1}{2}).$$

Note that up to a scaling factor, the eutaxy coefficients are

$$(n-1, 2n-5, \frac{3(n-2)}{2}) \text{ (n even) and } (n-2, \frac{n+1}{2}) \text{ (n odd).}$$

[The scaling factor can be recovered from the orbit lengths,  $(\frac{n(n-2)}{2}, \frac{n(n-2)}{8}, n)$  (n even) and  $(\frac{n^2-1}{2}, \frac{n^2-1}{8})$  (n odd).]

There remains to prove the eutaxy property for the series  $L'_n$ ,  $n \geq 7$  odd. We shall not give the details of the complicated calculations with the matrix  $A'^{-1}$ , but just state the very simple result: the eutaxy coefficients are proportional to  $(4, 6, 8, 3)$ .

[The orbit lengths are  $(\frac{(n-1)(n-3)}{2}, 2(n-2), \frac{(n-1)(n-3)}{8}, 1)$ .]

This completes the proof of Theorem 0.1.

**3.3. Duality.** I collect here a few putative invariants of the series  $L_n$  and  $L'_n$  related to duality. Thus the data I list largely rely on experimentation.

Let  $n \geq 6$  even. Then the first two layers of  $L_n^*$  appear to be  $\{\pm(e_1^* + \cdots + e_n^*)\}$  and  $\{\pm e_1^*, \dots, \pm e_n^*\}$ , acted on transitively by  $\text{Aut}(L_n)$ , so that  $L_{n-1}$  and  $L'_{n-1}$  are the densest two cross-sections of  $L_n$ , isometric to  $L_{n-1}$  and  $L'_{n-1}$ , respectively. When  $L_n^*$  is scaled to the smallest minimum which makes it integral the norms of these layers

are  $n$  and  $\frac{4}{3}(n-4)$  if  $n \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$ , and  $3n$  and  $4(n-1)$  if  $n \equiv 0, 2 \pmod{4}$ , respectively.

Let now  $n \geq 7$  odd. Experimentation suggests that  $S(L_n^*)$  has the configuration of  $S(\mathbb{A}_n^*)$ , i.e., that up to sign the minimal vectors of  $L_n^*$  are of the form  $f_1, \dots, f_n, f_0 := f_1 + \dots + f_n$ . With the Gram matrix  $P66(n)$  of next Section, one could choose  $f_1 = -e_1^*$  and  $f_i = e_i^*$  for  $i = 2, \dots, n$ . However there seems to be three orbits on  $S(L_n^*)$ , namely  $\{\pm f_1, \dots, \pm f_{n-2}\}$ ,  $\{\pm f_{n-1}, \pm f_n\}$  and  $\{\pm f_0\}$ , and that orthogonality with respect to the vectors of the first orbit defines lattices isometric to  $L_{n-1}$  whereas the other two orbits define non-perfect lattices.

Thus the descending chain  $L_n \supset L_{n-1} \supset L_{n-2} \supset \dots$  is most certainly made of successive densest cross-sections.

As for  $L'_n$ , experimentation suggests that with the Gram matrix  $P66a(n)$  of next Section,  $S(L'_n)$  consists of  $\pm e_n^*$  and  $\pm(e_1^* + \dots + e_n^*)$ , both having an orthogonal in  $L_n$  isometric to  $L'_{n-1} = L_{n-1}$ .

#### 4. PROGRAMS

We give below *PARI-GP*-codes which produce Gram matrices for  $L_n$  ( $P66(n)$ ) and  $L'_n$  ( $P66a(n)$ ; the matrices  $A'$  of Section 1). Note that  $P66(n) = P66a(n)$  for even  $n$ . For the sake of completeness, we also give a *PARI-GP*-code for the Barnes lattices  $P_n$  mentioned in the introduction.

```

{P66(n) =
local(m,a,b);
if(n == 1, return[4]); if(n%2 == 0, m = n, m = n + 1);
a = 2 * matid(m) + matrix(m, m, i, j, 2);
forstep(i = 1, m, 2, a[i, i + 1] = 1; a[i + 1, i] = 1);
if(n%2 == 1, b = matrix(n, n, i, j, a[i + 1, j + 1]);
for(i = 2, n - 2, b[1, i] = 1; b[i, 1] = 1);
a = b; );
a; }

{P66a(n) =
local(m,a);
if(n == 1, return[4]); if(n%2 == 0, m = n, m = n + 1);
a = P66(m); if(n%2 == 1, a = matrix(n, n, i, j, a[i, j]));
a; }

```

```

{PBarn(n) =
local(a);
if(n < 4, print("dimension must be at least 4"); return (0));
a = matrix(n, n); for(i = 2, n, a[i - 1, i] = -1); for(i = 3, n, a[i - 2, i] = -2);
for(i = 4, n, a[i - 3, i] = 1);
a[1, n - 1] = 1; a[2, n] = 1; a[1, n] = -2;
if(n%2 == 1, a[1, n] = -1; a[2, n] = 0; a[3, n] = 2; a[4, n] = -1;
a[n - 2, n] = -1; a[n - 1, n] = 2; for(i = 5, n - 3, a[i, n] = 0));
a + a~+4 * matid(n); }

```

## REFERENCES

- [B1] E.S. Barnes, *The perfect and extreme senary forms*, Canad. J. Math. **9** (1957), 235–242.
- [B2] E.S. Barnes, *The complete enumeration of extreme senary forms*, Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. London (A), **249** (1957), 461–506.
- [M] J. Martinet, *Perfect Lattices in Euclidean Spaces*, Grundlehren **327**, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 2003, 527 pp.
- [PARI] *PARI/GP, a computer algebra system designed for fast computations in number theory*, url <http://pari.math.u-bordeaux.fr/> .