# ON INTEGRAL LATTICES HAVING AN ODD MINIMUM

## JACQUES MARTINET AND BORIS VENKOV

ABSTRACT. We study the kissing number of integral lattices of odd minimum, with special emphasis on the case of minimum 3.

### 1. INTRODUCTION.

In this paper, we consider the problem of the kissing number for integral lattices having an odd minimum m, with special emphasis on the case where m = 3. Thus we try to give some precisions about the set of possible values for the number s of pairs of minimal vectors on such a lattice, and in particular on its maximal value  $s_{\text{max}}$  for given minimum m and dimension n. Our results include (see below):

• A list of upper and lower bounds for m = 3 and  $1 \le n \le 24$ .

• The value of  $s_{\text{max}}$  for all odd m in the range  $1 \le n \le 7$  and moreover for m = 3 when n = 8, 9, 16, 22, 23.

• The complete list of possible s for m = 3 and  $n \le 8$ .

We may and most of the time shall restrict ourselves to well rounded lattices, i.e. lattices possessing n independent minimal vectors, and even to lattices which are generated by their minimal vectors.

Our results rely on the use of various techniques arising from the theories of spherical designs, root systems, Watson's study ([W2]) of lattices which do not possess any hexagonal cross-section having the same minimum, classification according to the index of well-rounded sublattices, and estimations for the minimum of the dual lattice; we also used calculations with the *PARI* package. However, the reader may check that proofs of the bounds for  $s_{\text{max}}$  displayed in the table below, except in dimension 9, do not rely on heavy computations; more generally, handy-computational techniques suffice to obtain up to dimension 8 the classification of lattices of minimum 3 having a relatively large kissing number.

Key words and phrases. Euclidean Lattices, Kissing Number

First author: Laboratoire A2X, UMR 5465 and FR 2254

The second author acknowledges support from the Swiss National Science Foundation.

#### J. MARTINET, B. VENKOV

Here are the most important results which we prove in this paper.

**Theorem 1.1.** Lower and upper bounds for the values of s on an integral lattice of minimum 3 are as displayed in the table below:

n	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
$s_3(n) \ge$	1	2	4	6	10	16	28	30
$s_3(n) \leq$	1	2	4	6	10	16	28	30
n	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
$s_3(n) \ge$	34	40	52	68	88	112	160	256
$s_3(n) \leq$	34	63	81	103	129	162	203	256
n	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
$s_3(n) \ge$	288	352	448	640	896	1408	2300	2301
$s_3(n) \leq$	322	411	531	703	965	1408	2300	4991

**Table 1.1.** Lower and upper bounds for  $s_3(n)$ ,  $n \leq 24$ .

Moreover, in dimensions 3–9, 16, 22, 23, for which the upper and lower bounds in the table above coincide, lattices on which these bounds are attained are unique.

The lower bounds are proved in Section 2 and the upper bounds in Section 3, except for dimensions 8 and 9, for which we need techniques to be developed later in Sections 8–10. We also prove in Section 3 the uniqueness assertions for n = 16, 22 and 23. A proof of those for low dimensions is given in Section 4, where we introduce root systems associated with suitable sublattices. (More subtle constructions of root systems will occur in Section 9.)

Section 5, which we shall not use in the sequel, is devoted to lattices having any odd minimum  $m \ge 3$  in the range  $2 \le n \le 7$ . To state precisely our result, we first introduce some notation. We say that a lattice  $\Lambda$  is primitive if it is integral and the scalar products on  $\Lambda$ generate  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Given integers  $m \ge 1$  and  $n \ge 2$ , we denote by  $s_m(n)$  the maximal value of s on primitive n-dimensional lattices of minimum m. (This makes sense for all m and all  $n \ge 2$ , but  $\mathbb{Z}$  is the only primitive 1-dimensional lattice.)

**Theorem 1.2.** Let  $m \ge 5$  odd. We have  $s_m(n) = s_3(n)$  for  $2 \le n \le 6$ and  $s_m(7) = 27 < s_3(7) = 28$ .

Define the *index* of a well–rounded lattice  $\Lambda$  of dimension n to be the largest possible value for the index  $[\Lambda : L]$  where L is generated by n independent minimal vectors in  $\Lambda$ . In section 6, we consider essentially lattices of index 1 and dimension  $n \leq 6$ . We describe the minimal classes (in the sense of [M], Chapter 9) for lattices of odd minimum in dimensions  $n \leq 5$ ; general results under the less restrictive condition that the lattices do not possess hexagonal sections having the same minimum are displayed in Appendix 1. We obtain a complete description of the possible values of s for all odd m in dimensions  $n \leq 5$ , as well as the analogous 6-dimensional results for m = 3 and m = 5. (Probably, the result we obtain for m = 5 holds for all  $m \geq 5$  odd.)

In Section 7, we calculate the maximal value of the index up to dimension 8 under various restrictions, notably under the hypothesis that  $\Lambda$  be similar to an integral lattice having an odd minimum. These results are then applied to lattices of dimension  $n \leq 6$ . We also consider more precisely integral lattices of minimum 3 in dimensions up to 8; in particular, we characterize 7-dimensional integral lattices of index 3 (and minimum 3).

The remainder of the paper essentially deals with integral lattices of minimum 3.

In Section 8, we prove new upper bounds for the minimum of the dual lattice of an integral lattice of minimum m = 3 and dimension  $n \leq 9$ . Our bounds in dimensions 8 and 9 (1 and  $\frac{4}{3}$ ) are most certainly far from being sharp.

Section 9, in which we utilize the results of Section 8, is devoted to the construction of root systems that we can attach (under some severe restrictions) to integral lattices of minimum 3. These constructions are used to bound from above the difference  $s(\Lambda) - s(\Lambda_0)$  where  $\Lambda_0$  denotes a suitably chosen hyperplane cross-section. We also use them to prove the uniqueness assertions of Theorem 1.1 for  $3 \le n \le 8$ .

More precise results for dimensions 7 and 8 are proved in Section 10, in which we establish in particular the list of all possible kissing numbers. Section 11 is devoted to dimension 9, and four appendices complete this paper.

The results we prove for dimension 9 give us an opportunity for making two comments.

(1) The bound  $s \leq 34$  that we shall prove in Section 11 significantly improves on the bound  $s \leq 49$  of [V], indeed valid for any system of norm 3 vectors having mutual scalar products  $\pm 1$ . However, under this weaker hypothesis, a system with s = 48 was found by Neumaier ([Neu]); see the final remark in Section 3.

(2) In the whole paper, we have focused on large values of s. Lattices having a small s, say, slightly larger than n, cannot be reasonably expected to be classified. Indeed, we meet here a problem in graph theory: consider the

set  $\Gamma$  of graphs of valence 3 with *n* vertices; with such a graph, we associate its adjacency matrix *A* and then the matrix  $B = 3I_n - A$ ; this matrix is positive, semi-definite, and defines a relative lattice, which is integral of minimum  $\leq 3$  and has a small kissing number. To classify graphs of a given valency is considered as being a highly complicated problem. So the same conclusion should hold for lattices having a low kissing number.

### 2. Constructions of lattices.

In this section, we explain various constructions of lattices of minimum 3, which will in particular provide examples of lattices on which the lower bounds of Table 1.1 are attained.

Given a lattice  $\Lambda$  and a > 0, we denote by  ${}^{a}\Lambda$  the lattice  $\Lambda$  equipped with the scalar product  $a(x \cdot y)$ .

Let *m* be a positive integer. In dimension 1, there exists for all *m* a unique lattice of minimum *m*, namely  ${}^{m}\mathbb{Z}$ ; for n = 2, there are  $\lfloor \frac{m+1}{2} \rfloor$  well-rounded lattices, defined by a basis  $(e_1, e_2)$  such that  $e_1 \cdot e_1 = e_2 \cdot e_2 = m$  and  $e_1 \cdot e_2 = i$ ,  $0 \le i \le \lfloor \frac{m-1}{2} \rfloor$ , hence two lattices if m = 3.

In the remainder of this section, we restrict ourselves to the case where m = 3.

Our first construction is an adaptation of various lamination procedures to the problem of the kissing number. It produces lattices denoted by  $W_n$ , or maybe  $W_n^a$ ,  $W_n^b$ , etc. when they are not unique. We set  $W_1 = {}^3\mathbb{Z}$ , take for  $W_2$  the lattice for which  $e_1 \cdot e_2 = 1$ , and construct the further  $W_n$  by induction: starting with a lattice  $W_n$  of minimum 3 having a basis  $(e_1, \ldots, e_n)$ of minimal vectors, we consider up to isometry (n + 1)-dimensional lattices of minimum 3 which have a basis  $(e_1, \ldots, e_n, e_{n+1})$  extending the previous one and for which s is as large as possible. These are the lattices  $W_{n+1}$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** The  $W_n$  lattices are unique in the range  $1 \le n \le 16$ , except for n = 10 and n = 11, where there are two of them. For  $n \le 14$ ,  $s(W_n)$ is the lower bound for s displayed in Table 1.1; we have  $s(W_{15}) = 140$  and  $s(W_{16}) = 156$ .

[In dimensions 10 and 11, we distinguish  $W_n^a$  and  $W_n^b$  by the properties of their duals: the annihilator of  $\Lambda^*/\Lambda$  is 4 for  $\Lambda = W_{10}^a$  and 16 for  $\Lambda = W_{10}^b$  (in both cases,  $s(\Lambda^*) = 4$ ); we have  $s(W_{11}^{a*}) = 19$  and  $s(W_{11}^{b*}) = 27$ , (in both cases, the annihilator of  $\Lambda^*/\Lambda$  is 4). We have the inclusions  $W_{10}^a \subset W_{11}^a$ ,  $W_{10}^a \subset W_{11}^a$  and  $W_{10}^b \subset W_{11}^a$ .]

Sketch of proof. We have used a computer to list all possible extensions of a given  $W_n$  with  $n \leq 15$ . In all cases, we found lattices with a determinant equal to the determinant found by Plesken and Pohst in [Pl-P] for their arithmetical laminations for minimum 3. We could in this way identify the  $W_n$  with some of the Plesken–Pohst lattices.

The notation  $W_n$  has been chosen after Watson, who discovered them in [W2] up to dimension 7. We have  $W_7 \simeq {}^2 \mathbb{E}_7^*$ , but  $W_7$  is better understood as the pull back in  $\mathbb{Z}^7$  of the binary [7, 4, 3] Hamming code. The lattices  $W_6, W_5, \ldots$  are then obtained as antilaminations of  $W_7$  (see the definition below).

We now briefly explain explicit constructions for dimensions up to 12.

Given a lattice  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ , we easily construct lattices of dimension n + 1 and n + 2 by the following trick: adjoin to  $\Lambda$  the vectors  $(0^{n-1}, 1, 1, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+2}$  and then  $(0^{n-2}, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+3}$ , and consider the sections of these lattices first by the hyperplanes  $x_{n+1} + x_{n+2} = 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$ , then by the hyperplane  $x_{n+1} + x_{n+2} + x_{n+3} = 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+3}$ . We obtain lattices in dimension n + 1 with  $s = s(\Lambda) + 2$ , then in dimension n+2 with  $s = s(\Lambda) + 6$ . Taking  $\Lambda = W_7$ , we obtain in this way  $W_8$  and  $W_9$ . Identifying two weight 3 words in the concatenation of two copies of the Hamming code, we obtain a [12, 8, 3] code possessing 17 weight 3 words. Its pull back in  $\mathbb{Z}^{12}$  has  $s = 17 \cdot 4 = 68$ ; it is the lattice  $W_{12}$ . Its dual has two orbits of minimal vectors, with corresponding hyperplane sections  $W_{11}^a$  and  $W_{11}^b$ ; repeating the antilamination procedure yields all the  $W_n$  with  $n \leq 10$ .

Let  $\Lambda$  be a lattice, with minimum m. By the antilaminations of  $\Lambda$ , we mean the descending chain of successive hyperplane sections of  $\Lambda$  of the lattices having the highest Hermite invariant. The antilaminations of O<sub>23</sub> (the unique 23-dimensional unimodular lattice of minimum 3) are described in [Bt-M], from which we can extract the following theorem:

**Theorem 2.2.** There is a unique "antilaminated" lattice in  $O_{23}$  for each of the dimensions n = 23 to n = 14, denoted by  $O_n$ . The values of  $s(O_n)$  are the lower bounds for s which are displayed in Table 1.1. We have  $s(O_n) > s(W_n)$  for n = 15, 16 and  $s(O_{14}) = s(W_{14})$ , but  $O_{14}$  and  $W_{14}$  are not isometric.  $\Box$ 

Proof of the lower bounds in Theorem 1.1. Consider the lattices  $W_n$  for  $1 \le n \le 14$ ,  $O_n$  for  $14 \le n \le 23$ , and  $O_{23} \perp W_1$  for n = 24.

We now indicate a way of obtaining integral lattices of minimum 3 from even integral lattices of minimum 4, for which numerous examples are known.

**Proposition 2.3.** An integral lattice  $\Lambda$  of minimum 3 with s > n possesses norm 4 vectors.

*Proof.* Let  $S(\Lambda) = \{\pm e_1, \ldots, \pm e_s\}$ . If the  $e_i$  are pairwise orthogonal, then  $s \leq n$ . Otherwise, there exists i, j such that  $e_i \cdot e_j = \pm 1$ . Then  $N(e_i \mp e_j) = 4$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** An integral lattice of minimum 3 contains vectors of norm 4 if and only if there exists an even lattice L of minimum 4 and a vector  $e \in L$  which satisfies the following three conditions:

- (1) N(e) = 12.
- (2) e is not congruent modulo 2 to a shorter vector of L.
- (3)  $e \in 2L^*$ .

[Note that, because of the identity N(x + 2z) - N(x) = 4(x.z + N(z)),  $y \equiv x \mod 2L \Rightarrow N(y) \equiv N(x) \mod 4$ , so that it suffices to consider vectors of norm 4 or 8 to test condition (2).]

*Proof.* If  $\Lambda$  exists, let  $L = \Lambda_{even}$ . Then, min L = 4. Let  $f \in S(\Lambda)$ , and set e = 2f. Then, N(e) = 12, and  $e \in 2L^*$ , since all scalar products  $e \cdot x = 2f \cdot x$ 

are even on L, thus for all  $x \in \Lambda$ . Moreover, if  $e' \equiv e \mod 2\Lambda$ , then  $\frac{e'}{2}$  is a non-zero vector of  $\Lambda$ , which implies  $N(e') \geq 4 \min \Lambda \geq 12$ .

Conversely, given L of norm 4, let  $e \in L$  which satisfies the three conditions of the theorem. Set  $f = \frac{e}{2}$  and  $\Lambda = \langle L, f \rangle$ . Then,  $L = \Lambda \cup (f + \Lambda)$ . Thanks to condition (3), all scalar products  $f \cdot x, x \in L$  are integral. Moreover, given  $x, y \in L$ , we have the equality

$$(f+x)\cdot(f+y) = N(f) + f\cdot(x+y) + x\cdot y,$$

which shows that  $\Lambda$  is integral. Finally, for  $x \in \Lambda$ , we have either  $x \in L$ , hence x = 0 or  $N(x) \ge 4$ , or  $x = f + y, y \in L$ , hence  $2x \equiv e \mod 2L$ , whence  $N(2x) \ge 12$ .

This construction transforms for  $14 \leq n \leq 23$  the laminated lattices  $\Lambda_n$ into  $O_n$  and similarly, one obtains  $W_{11}^b$ ,  $W_{12}$ ,  $W_{13}$  from  $\Lambda_{11}^{\text{max}}$ ,  $\Lambda_{12}^{\text{mid}}$ ,  $\Lambda_{13}^{\text{max}}$ . Also, two important examples show up in dimension 10. The lattices  $K'_{10}$ ([M], Chapter 8, Definition 5.8) and  $Q_{10}$  (a 4-modular lattice discovered by Souvignier) yield integral lattices of minimum 3, which have the same value of s (s = 40) as  $W_{10}^a$  and  $W_{10}^b$ .

[Curiously,  $K'_{10}$  and  $Q_{10}$  play an important rôle in Nebe and Venkov's classification of 10-dimensional strongly eutactic lattices; compare [N-V], Theorem 3.6.]

We now apply for further use the theorem above to root lattices (rescaled to minimum 4). We restrict ourselves to the cases where the lattices  $\Lambda$  of minimum 3 we obtain are well rounded. The results, the proofs of which we leave to the reader, are summarized in the table below, which contains an integer n, an n-dimensional root lattice L, the kissing number of the unique lattice  $\Lambda$  of minimum 3 coming from  ${}^{2}L$ , and the name of  $\Lambda$  if any.

n	3	4	5	6	7	7	8	9	$n \ge 5$
L	$\mathbb{A}_1^{\perp 3}$	$\mathbb{A}_3 \perp \mathbb{A}_1$	$\mathbb{A}_5$	$\mathbb{D}_6$	$\mathbb{E}_7$	$\mathbb{E}_6 \perp \mathbb{A}_1$	$\mathbb{E}_7 \perp \mathbb{A}_1$	$\mathbb{E}_8 \perp \mathbb{A}_1$	$\mathbb{D}_{n-1} \perp \mathbb{A}_1$
s	4	6	10	16	28	10	12	16	2(n-1)
Λ	$W_3$	$W_4$	$W_5$	$W_6$	$W_7$				

 Table 2.5.
 Application of root lattices.

[The lattice constructed from  $\mathbb{D}_{n-1} \perp \mathbb{A}_1$  (indeed, also for n = 3 or 4) possesses a basis  $(e_1, \ldots, e_n)$  of minimal vectors such that the other minimal vectors (up to sign) are  $e_1 + e_2 + e_3, \ldots, e_1 + e_2 + e_n$ .]

We now describe briefly two constructions based on weight 6 codes.

For the first construction, we consider the lattice  $\mathbb{A}_1^{\perp n}$  endowed with its canonical basis  $(\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_n)$ , its "doubly even sublattice"  $L_n$  (the scaled copy of  $\mathbb{D}_n$  defined by the congruence  $\sum_i x_i \equiv 0 \mod 2$ ), and a non-zero, selfdual code C (i.e., such that  $C \subset C^{\perp}$ ), of length n and weight 6. Let  $\Lambda_C$ be the lattice generated by  $L_n$  and the vectors  $\frac{1}{2}(a_1\varepsilon_1 + \cdots + a_n\varepsilon_n)$  where  $(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$  reduce modulo 2 to a word of C. Then  $\Lambda_C$  is an integral lattice of minimum 3, and  $s(\Lambda_C) = 16t$ , where t denotes the number of weight 6 words in C. For instance, if n = 6 and C the one-word code,  $\Lambda_C$  is isometric to  $W_6$ . These codes have been studied by Bachoc and Gaborit in [B-G]. From [B-G], we can extract examples in dimensions 6, 10, 14, 15, 16, 19, 20, and 21 for which  $s(\Lambda_C)$  meets the lower bound displayed in Table 1.1.

For the second construction, we start with the lattice  $\mathbb{Z} \perp \mathbb{A}_1^{\perp(n-1)}$ , and consider a self-dual code C of length n-1 and weight 6. This time, we attach to C the lattice  $\Lambda'_C$  generated by  $L_{n-1}$ , the vectors  $\frac{1}{2}(a_1\varepsilon_1 + \cdots + a_{n-1}\varepsilon_{n-1})$ as above, and the 2(n-1) vectors  $\varepsilon + \tau$  for  $\tau$  a root of  $\mathbb{A}_1^{\perp n-1}$ . Then  $\Lambda'_C$  is again an integral lattice of minimum 3, with s = 16 t + 2 (n-1). For instance, if n = 7 and C is the one-word code,  $\Lambda'_C$  is isometric to  $W_7$ . From [B-G], we can extract examples in dimensions 7, 11, 13, and 17 for which  $s(\Lambda_C)$  meets the lower bound in Table 1.1.

3. Upper bounds from spherical designs theory.

We first state a theorem due to the second author:

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a finite symmetric set whose elements are 2s norm 3 vectors with pairwise scalar products  $0, \pm 1, \pm 3$ . Then, the following upper bounds for s hold:

(1)  $\forall n \le 8, s \le \frac{8n}{9-n}$ . (2)  $\forall n \le 24, s \le \frac{8n(n+2)}{25-n}$ .

Proof. See [V], Theorem 7.13.

The proof given in [V] of the two assertions above consists in comparing two inequalities. In both cases, one of them is a Cauchy-Schwarz inequality  $[f, f] \geq 0$  for the scalar product on the set of degree 2 harmonic polynomials (see [V], Section 1), an inequality which properly belongs to the theory of spherical 2-designs. In case (2), a second inequality is obtained in the same way, using this time degree 4 polynomials; in case (1), one simply writes that the number of pairs of orthogonal vectors is bounded from below by zero. This implies:

**Theorem 3.2.** Equality holds in 3.1(1) if and only if S is a spherical 3design and S does not contain any pair of orthogonal vectors; equality holds in 3.1 (2) if and only if S is a spherical 5-design. 

[We refer to [V], Section 3 for the definition of a spherical design.]

The integral lattices of minimum 3 whose sets of minimal vectors constitute a spherical 5-design have been classified in [V] (Theorem 7.4). In our notation, this results reads:

**Theorem 3.3.** The set of minimal vectors of an integral lattice  $\Lambda$  of minimum 3 is a spherical 5-design if and only if  $\Lambda$  is isometric to  $W_1$ ,  $W_7$ ,  $O_{16}$ , O<sub>22</sub>, or O<sub>23</sub>.  $\square$ 

Using Theorem 3.1 and taking into account Theorem 3.3, which tells us when the inequality in 3.1 (2) is strict, we justify the upper bounds given in Theorem 1.1 except for n = 8 and n = 9; we also obtain the uniqueness assertions for n = 7, 16, 22 and 23.

To complete the proof of Theorem 1.1, there just remains to prove the upper bounds stated for dimensions 8 and 9 and the uniqueness assertions for dimensions 3–8. A quick proof of the uniqueness assertions for n = 3, 5, 6, 7 will be given in the next section; easy proofs for n = 3 and 4 can be read at the beginning of Section 6.

**Remark 3.4.** The set of 2s = 96 vectors in  $\mathbb{Z}^9$  with mutual scalar products  $\pm 1$ , constructed by Neumaier in [Neu], and referred to at the end of the introduction, is constructed in the following way: start with the affine plane P over  $\mathbb{F}_3$ , and identify its nine elements with the canonical basis  $\mathcal{B} = (\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_9)$  of  $\mathbb{R}^9$ ; a line in P contains three points, corresponding to 3 basis vectors  $\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j, \varepsilon_k$ , to which correspond the 8 vectors  $\varepsilon_i \pm \varepsilon_j \pm \varepsilon_k \in \mathbb{Z}^9$ . The 12 affine lines in P thus define  $48 \times 2 = 96$  vectors in  $\mathbb{Z}^9$ .

#### 4. A FIRST CONSTRUCTION OF ROOT SYSTEMS.

We still denote by  $\Lambda$  an *n*-dimensional integral lattice of minimum 3.

**Proposition 4.1.** Let T be a non-empty set of minimal vectors in  $\Lambda$ , stable under the map  $x \mapsto -x$ , and which does not contain any pair of orthogonal vectors. Set  $r = \operatorname{rk} T$  and  $t = \frac{1}{2} |T|$ . Let  $e \in T$ , and set

$$T_e^+ = \{ x \in T \mid e \cdot x = +1 \}.$$

(T is the disjoint union of  $T_e^+$  and  $T_e^- = -T_e^+$ .)

- (1) If all scalar products  $x \cdot y$  for  $x, y \in T_e^+$ ,  $y \neq x$  are equal to +1, then t = r, and the vectors  $e x, x \in T_e^+$  constitute a basis for a scaled copy to minimum 4 of the root lattice  $\mathbb{A}_{r-1}$ .
- (2) Otherwise, the lattice L generated by the vectors e x,  $x \in T_e^+$ , and x + y, x,  $y \in T_e^+$ ,  $x \cdot y = -1$  is a scaled copy to minimum 4 of a rank r root lattice.

*Proof.* For  $x, x' \in T_e^+$ , we have  $N(x \pm x') = 6 \pm x \cdot x'$ , which shows that the vectors  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e-x)$  and  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x+y)$  when  $x \cdot y = -1$  have norm 2 and integral mutual scalar products. Hence they generate a root lattice.

Under hypothesis (1), let  $e_1 = e, e_2, \ldots, e_t$  be the vectors of  $T_e^+$ . The Gram matrix of the t-1 vectors  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e_1-e_i)$  has entries 2 on the diagonal and 1 outside. Thus it is a Gram matrix for  $\mathbb{A}_{t-1}$ . In particular, it has rank t-1, which shows that

$$rk(e_1, \ldots, e_t) = rk(e_1, e_1 - e_2, \ldots, e_i - e_t) = t$$

hence that t = r.

Under hypothesis (2), let  $e_1, \ldots, e_r$  be independent vectors in  $T_e^+$ . The vectors  $e_1 - e_k$ , together with one vector  $e_i + e_j$ , constitute a rank r system. Choosing i, j with  $e_i \cdot e_j = -1$  proves the second assertion.

**Corollary 4.2.** If  $\Lambda$  is well rounded, if  $S(\Lambda)$  contains no pair of orthogonal vectors, and if  $s(\Lambda) > n$ , then  $\Lambda$  can be constructed by the procedure of Theorem 2.4, using as lattice L the scaled copy to minimum 4 of a rank n root lattice.

*Proof.* Since s > n, hypothesis (2) of Proposition 4.2 is satisfied. We clearly have  $\Lambda = \langle L, e_1 \rangle$ , and this construction of  $\Lambda$  from L is precisely that of Theorem 2.4.

Proof of the uniqueness assertions for n=3-7. By Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, the scalar product of two minimal vectors in a lattice of the dimensions above on which s attains its maximum are non-zero. Hence we may apply the corollary above, and the conclusion follows from the results displayed in Table 2.5.

#### 5. A THEOREM OF WATSON.

In this section, we use a theorem of Watson to prove Theorem 1.2. We consider *general* lattices in the Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (our lattices are no more assumed to satisfy integrality conditions). To state Watson's theorem referred to above, we recall the notion of a *minimal class*; see [M], Chapter 9 for an extensive study: these are the classes for the equivalence relation

 $\Lambda \mathcal{R}\Lambda' \iff \exists u \in \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $u(\Lambda) = \Lambda'$  and  $u(S(\Lambda)) = S(\Lambda')$ .

A class is clearly a union of similarity classes of lattices. We define on the set of minimal classes an ordering relation by

$$\operatorname{cl}(\Lambda) \prec \operatorname{cl}(\Lambda') \iff \exists u \in \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$$
  
such that  $u(\Lambda) = \Lambda'$  and  $u(S(\Lambda)) \subset S(\Lambda')$ .

The perfection rank of a lattice  $\Lambda$  is the rank r in the space  $\operatorname{Sym}_n(\mathbb{R})$  of symmetric endomorphisms of the set of orthogonal projections onto the minimal vectors of  $\Lambda$ ; we have  $1 \leq r \leq \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ ; the difference  $r' = \frac{n(n+1)}{2} - r$  is the perfection corank of  $\Lambda$ . These are invariants of the minimal class of  $\Lambda$ . The similarity classes of lattices belonging to a class of corank r' are represented by the set of their Gram matrices scaled to a given (arbitrary) minimum; this is parametrized by the relative interior of a convex set in an affine space of dimension r' whose extremal points are perfect classes (for which r' = 0). A class with r' = 0 is the similarity class of a perfect lattice. A class with r' = 1 is represented by the interior of a Voronoi path  $t \in (0, 1) \mapsto M(t)$  connecting the perfect matrices M(0) and M(1).

**Theorem 5.1.** (Watson.) Let  $\Lambda$  be a lattice of dimension  $n \leq 7$  which does not possess hexagonal sections having the same minimum and whose kissing number is maximum among all such lattices. Then the minimal class of  $\Lambda$  is that of  $W_n$ . In particular, for a lattice of dimension n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 or 7 possessing no hexagonal section having the same minimum, we have  $s \leq 1, 2, 4, 6, 10, 16$  or 28, respectively.

Proof. See [W2].

Using Watson's theorem, we immediately recover the values of  $s_n(3)$  for  $n \leq 7$  which we gave in Theorem 1.1, since two minimal vectors in an integral lattice having an odd minimum cannot generate a hexagonal lattice. We now proceed to the proof of Theorem 1.2, which concerns integral lattices having an odd minimum  $m \geq 5$ :

**Theorem 5.2.** Let  $m \ge 5$  odd. We have  $s_m(n) = s_3(n)$  for  $2 \le n \le 6$  and  $s_m(7) = 27 < s_3(7) = 28$ .

*Proof.* The upper bounds for s in the range 1–6 follow immediately from Theorem 4.1. To prove the sharper bound  $s \leq 27$  for n = 7, we observe that  $W_7$  is perfect. This shows that a lattice with s = 28 having an odd minimum is similar to  $W_7$ , hence isometric to  $\sqrt{a} W_7$  for some integer  $a \geq 1$ . But such a lattice is not primitive unless a = 1.

We now prove that the upper bound 27 is attained for all  $m \geq 5$ . To this end, we consider a Voronoi path  $\mathcal{C}$  connecting  $W_7$  to a neighbouring perfect lattice. For  $W_7$ , we have s = r. Hence the Voronoi paths having  $W_7$  as an end point are in one-to-one correspondence with the complementary sets of one pair  $\pm x$  of minimal vectors (see [M], Section 7.5). Since  $\operatorname{Aut}(W_7) \simeq$  $W(\mathbb{E}_7)$  acts transitively on  $S(W_7^*) \sim S(\mathbb{E}_7)$ , all these Voronoi paths are isometric to one of them, and connect scaled copies of  $\mathbb{E}_7^*$  and  $\mathbb{E}_7$ . An explicit one-parameter family of matrices can be found in Jaquet's thesis [J]. Scaled to minimum 6, this family is given by the matrices

$$M(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 6 & t+3 & t+3 & t+3 & t+3 & t+3 & 2t \\ t+3 & 2t+6 & t+3 & t+3 & t+3 & 0 \\ t+3 & t+3 & 2t+6 & t+3 & t+3 & t+3 \\ t+3 & t+3 & t+3 & 2t+6 & t+3 & t+3 \\ t+3 & t+3 & t+3 & 2t+6 & t+3 & t+3 \\ t+3 & t+3 & t+3 & t+3 & 2t+6 & t+3 \\ 2t & 0 & t+3 & t+3 & t+3 & t+3 & t+3 \end{pmatrix},$$

which connect the perfect lattices  ${}^{3}\mathbb{E}_{7}$  and  ${}^{2}W_{7}$ . Set  $t = \frac{3p}{m}$  where p, m are integers with 0 < 3p < m. The entries of  $\frac{m}{6}M(t)$  are m (its minimum),  $p + m, \frac{p+m}{2}, p$ , and 0. Choosing for p an odd integer strictly smaller than  $\frac{m}{3}$ , e.g. p = 1, we obtain an integral lattice of minimum m which belongs to  $\mathcal{C}$ , hence has s = 27. Denote by  $L_{7}(m)$  any such lattice.

By orthogonality to one of the 63 directions of minimal vectors in  $\mathbb{E}_7$ , we obtain 63 hyperplane cross-sections of  $W_7$  with s = 16, all having the same configuration of minimal vectors (i.e., the minimal vectors have the same system of components in a suitably chosen basis for  $W_7$ ). Removing one pair of vectors from  $S(W_7)$  preserves the existence of such configurations (indeed, there remains 27 of them). This proves that  $L_7(m)$  contains hyperplane sections  $L_6(m)$  with s = 16.

Since the configuration of  $S(L_6(m))$  is that of  $S(W_6)$ ,  $L_6(m)$  contains a hyperplane section  $L_5(m)$  with s = 10, which itself contains a hyperplane section with s = 6, etc. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

It is easy to verify that the lattices  $L_7(5)$  and  $L_7(7)$  are unique in the class C. However, this does not proves the uniqueness of these lattices as *integral lattices with minimum* 5 or 7, for Watson's theorem does not tell us that C is the unique 7-dimensional, well-rounded minimal class with s = 27 containing integral lattices of odd minimum. Nevertheless, we believe that this is actually the case.

[The lattice  $L_7(5)$  is 9-modular. This was first constructed by Conway and Sloane in [C-S2], and later interpreted by Bergé and the first author (unpublished) as the fixed point (up to similarity) of an involution on C which exchanges a lattice and its dual.]

#### 6. Low-dimensional lattices of index 1.

Let  $\Lambda$  be an *n*-dimensional, well-rounded lattice. This means that there exist in  $\Lambda$  systems of *n* independent minimal vectors. These systems generate sublattices of finite index in  $\Lambda$ . The largest possible value for this index is called the *index of*  $\Lambda$ , and denoted by  $i(\Lambda) = i$ . The index solely depends on the minimal class of  $\Lambda$ , and is bounded from above by  $\gamma_n^{n/2}$ ; see Section 7 below.

In this Section, we consider lattices of index 1 and dimension  $n \leq 6$ ; in particular, we give an explicit description of all well-rounded minimal classes of index 1 which contain an integral lattice having an odd minimum for dimensions  $n \leq 5$ , using conditions (C1) and (C2) from the lemma below; the complete minimal classification of 5-dimensional lattices having no hexagonal section with the same minimum is displayed in Appendix 1.

We begin with an easy lemma:

**Lemma 6.1.** Let  $\Lambda$  be an integral lattice having an odd minimum. Then  $\Lambda$  satisfies the following two conditions:

(C1) For any  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear relation  $a_1e_1 + \cdots + a_re_r$  between minimal vectors  $e_1, \ldots, e_r$ , the sum  $a_1 + \cdots + a_r$  is even.

(C2) If  $e_1, \ldots, e_r$  are independent minimal vectors and if  $\Lambda$  contains  $e = \frac{e_1 + \cdots + e_r}{2}$ , then r is even and  $\geq 6$ .

*Proof.* If r = 4 in condition (C2),  $\Lambda$  contains a 4-dimensional centred cubic lattice, which itself contains hexagonal sections. The other assertions result immediately from norm calculations.

In what follows, we restrict ourselves to minimal classes whose elements satisfy conditions (C1) and (C2).

The complete classification of minimal classes up to dimension 4 (first made by Štogrin) can be read in [M], Section 9.3 and 9.4. Minimal classes in dimension  $n \leq 4$  satisfying conditions (C1) and (C2) are as follows; we

describe the minimal vectors in a basis of minimal vectors  $(e_1, \ldots, e_n)$ ; the notation  $a_s$ ,  $b_s$ , etc. is that of [M].

 $\underline{n=2}, \underline{s=2} (a_2). \\ \underline{n=3}, \underline{s=3} (a_3); \underline{s=4}: a_3, e_4 = e_1 + e_2 + e_3 (a_4). \\ \underline{n=4}, \underline{s=4} (a_4); \underline{s=5}: a_4, e_5 = e_1 + e_2 + e_3 (b_5); \\ \underline{s=6}: b_5, e_6 = e_1 + e_2 + e_4 (a_6).$ 

Using the list of classes above, we easily verify that all these classes contain integral lattices of minimum m for all  $m \ge 3$  odd, and that such a lattice is unique if n = 3, s = 4 and m = 3 or 5, and if n = 4, s = 6 and m = 3; here are Gram matrices for the three lattices above (for n = 4 and m = 5, there are three lattices):

$$\begin{pmatrix} 3 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 3 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 5 & -2 & -2 \\ -2 & 5 & -1 \\ -2 & -1 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 3 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 3 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The general 5-dimensional classification is known (Batut, [Bt]). However, the result is very complicated, and it is difficult to extract from the published data the list of classes we are interested in. Following the method used in [M], we have classified directly the minimal classes having no hexagonal section with the same minimum, starting with the classes with s = 5, and increasing inductively the kissing number. We give below a detailed description of what concerns classes satisfying conditions (C1) and (C2), denoted by  $\lambda_s$  or  $\lambda'_s$ .

 $\begin{array}{l} \underline{n=5}, \ \underline{s=5}: \ S = \{\pm e_1, \dots, \pm e_5\} \ (\lambda_5).\\ \underline{s=6}: \ \lambda_5, \ \text{and} \ e_6 = e_1 + e_2 + e_3 \ \text{or} \ e_1 + e_2 + e_3 + e_4 + e_5 \ (\lambda_6, \ \lambda_6').\\ \underline{s\geq7}: \ \mathcal{C}\succ\lambda_6.\\ \underline{s=7}: \ \lambda_6, \ \text{and} \ e_7 = e_1 + e_2 + e_4 \ \text{or} \ e_1 + e_4 + e_5 \ (\lambda_7, \ \lambda_7').\\ \underline{s\geq8}: \ \mathcal{C}\succ\lambda_7.\\ \underline{s=8}: \ \lambda_7, \ \text{and} \ e_8 = e_3 - e_4 + e_5 \ \text{or} \ e_1 + e_2 + e_5 \ (\lambda_8, \ \lambda_8');\\ \underline{s\geq8} \ \text{and} \ e_8 = e_1 + e_2 + e_5 \Longrightarrow s = 8.\\ \underline{s=9}: \ \lambda_8, \ \text{and} \ e_9 = e_1 + e_3 + e_5 \ (\lambda_9).\\ \underline{s=10}: \ \lambda_9, \ \text{and} \ e_{10} = e_2 + e_4 - e_5 \ (\lambda_{10}).\\ [A more symmetric definition \ for \ \lambda_8, \ \lambda_9, \ \lambda_{10} \ \text{could be obtained by extending} \ \lambda_7 \ \text{successively with} \\ e_8' = e_1 + e_3 + e_5, \ e_9' = e_1 + e_4 + e_5 \ \text{and} \ e_{10}' = e_1 + e_2 + e_3 + e_4 + e_5. \end{array}$ 

Sketch of proof. We shall not justify the details. Because the index is one, there exist bases of minimal vectors. As in [M]. we use the fact that the characteristic determinants (the determinants whose entries are components of systems of  $r \leq n$  minimal vectors on r basis vectors) are 0 or  $\pm 1$ , which implies that

- (1) all components are 0 or  $\pm 1$ ;
- (2) there do not exist systems of two vectors with two components (1, 1) and (1, -1);
- (3) there do not exist systems of three vectors with three components (1, 1, 0), (1, 0, 1) and (0, 1, 1).

We also prove that if  $s \ge 7$  (resp.  $s \ge 8$ ), we can find a base change which puts in evidence a hyperplane section with  $s \ge 5$  (resp.  $s \ge 6$ ), so that we can use the results we know for dimension 4.

[The class  $\lambda'_8$  deserves a special remark: it belongs to a series with s = 2(n-1), defined for all  $n \geq 3$ , with minimal vectors  $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ , which constitute a basis, and  $e_1 + e_2 + e_i$ ,  $i = 3, \ldots, n$ . One may take  $e_i \cdot e_i = m$ ,  $e_1 \cdot e_2 = -1$ ,  $e_1 \cdot e_i = e_2 \cdot e_i = -\frac{m-1}{2}$  for  $3 \leq i \leq n$ , and  $e_i \cdot e_j = \frac{m-1}{2}$  for  $3 \leq i < j \leq n$ ; the decomposition

$$N(x) = \frac{m-1}{2} (x_1 + x_2 - x_3 - \dots - x_n)^2 + \frac{m+1}{2} (x_1 - x_2)^2 + \frac{m+1}{2} (x_3^2 + \dots + x_n^2)$$

of the norm form easily shows the existence of lattices which fulfil the properties. Moreover, such a lattice is unique if m = 3, as one easily sees, using the uniqueness of hyperplane sections with s = 6, and indeed coincides with the lattice associated in Table 2.5 with the root lattice  $\mathbb{D}_{n-1} \perp \mathbb{A}_1$ .]

**Theorem 6.2.** All classes  $\lambda_s$ ,  $\lambda'_s$  contain integral lattices of any odd minimum  $m \geq 3$ , except  $\lambda_9$  if m = 3. Lattices of minimum 3 in each of the classes  $\lambda'_7$ ,  $\lambda_8$ ,  $\lambda'_8$ ,  $\lambda_{10}$  are unique, whereas  $\lambda_7$  contains three lattices. Similarly,  $\lambda_{10}$  contains a unique lattice of minimum 5.

*Proof.* The construction of lattices having an odd minimum m has been done using the techniques given above in the case of  $\lambda'_8$ . Examples show up in in all classes except in  $\lambda_9$ . We shall consider in detail only lattices with m = 3 and  $s \ge 7$ , the only cases we shall need later. (Note, however, that the existence of a lattice in  $\lambda_{10}$  with any minimum  $m \ge 3$  has been proved in Section 4.)

If  $\mathcal{C} > \lambda_7$ , because  $e_1 + e_2 + e_3$  and  $e_1 + e_2 + e_4$  are minimal, we have  $e_i \cdot e_j = -1$  for  $1 \leq i < j \leq 4$ , except  $e_3 \cdot e_4 = 1$  (for the last condition, note that  $N(e_1 + e_2 + e_3 + e_4) = 2 + 2e_3 \cdot e_4 \geq 4$ ). Using the conditions  $e_i \cdot e_5 \in \{0, \pm 1\}, N(e_i + e_j + e_5) > 3, N(e_3 + e_4 - e_5) > 3$ , and the invariance of  $\mathcal{C}_7$  under the elements (1, 2), (3, 4) of  $S_5$ , we quickly find the three isometry classes of lattices belonging to  $\mathcal{C}_7$ .

For a lattice  $\Lambda$  in a class containing  $\lambda_8$ , thanks to the condition  $N(e_3 - e_4 + e_5) = 3$ , we have  $e_4 \cdot e_5 = -e_3 \cdot e_5 = 1$ . Using the lower bounds  $N(e_1+e_2+e_3+e_5) \ge 4$  and  $N(e_1+e_2+e_4-e_5) \ge 4$ , we obtain  $e_1 \cdot e_5 + e_2 \cdot e_5 = 0$ . Exchanging  $e_1$  and  $e_2$ , we may moreover assume that  $e_1 \cdot e_5 \le e_2 \cdot e_5$ , and we are left with the two possibilities  $e_1 \cdot e_5 = e_2 \cdot e_5 = 0$ , and then  $\Lambda \in \lambda_8$ , and  $e_1 \cdot e_5 = -1$ ,  $e_2 \cdot e_5 = +1$ , and then  $\Lambda \in \lambda_{10}$ .

The easier cases of  $\lambda_7$  and  $\lambda_8$  are dealt with in the same way.

We now prove partial classification results for 6-dimensional lattices of *index* 1, which will show that the large values of s exist only for lattices having a larger index, a situation which we shall consider in the next section.

**Lemma 6.3.** If  $s(\Lambda) \ge 7$  (resp.  $s(\Lambda) \ge 9$ ), then  $\Lambda$  has a hyperplane section  $\Lambda_0$  with  $\min \Lambda_0 = \min \Lambda$  and  $s(\Lambda_0) \ge 6$  (resp.  $s(\Lambda_0) \ge 7$ ).

*Proof.* Since  $i(\Lambda) = 1$ , any system  $e_1, \ldots, e_6$  of six independent minimal vectors of  $\Lambda$  constitute a basis for  $\Lambda$ . Since the other minimal vectors have three or five non-zero components on  $e_1, \ldots, e_6$ , it is clear that if  $s \ge 7$ , we can find five basis vectors which generate a sublattice with s = 7.

Suppose now that  $s \ge 9$ . If two minimal vectors have five components, say,  $e = e_1 + \cdots + e_4 + e_5$  and  $e' = e_1 + \cdots + e_4 + e_6$ , then  $e' = e - e_5 + e_6$  and we have a vector with three components on the basis  $(e, e_2, \ldots, e_6)$ . A similar argument shows that if three minimal vectors have five components, we can get rid of two of them. So we assume that  $S(\Lambda)$  contains to vectors  $e_7$  and  $e_8$  with three components. If they have a common component, we are done. Otherwise, we may assume that  $e_7 = e_1 + e_2 + e_3$  and  $e_8 = e_4 + e_5 + e_6$ . Then an extra vector with three (resp. five) components shares two (resp. three) components with  $e_7$  or  $e_8$ , which completes the proof of the lemma.

**Remark 6.4.** By a detailed analysis of classes having a large kissing number, we could show that when  $s \ge 11$ ,  $\Lambda$  contains a hyperplane section  $\Lambda_0$  with  $\min \Lambda_0 = \min \Lambda$  and  $s(\Lambda_0) \ge 8$ .

By the lemma above, for studying the kissing number of integral 6-dimensional lattices having an odd minimum, we can restrict ourselves to lattices having a hyperplane section of type  $\lambda_7$ ,  $\lambda'_7$ ,  $\lambda_8$ ,  $\lambda'_8$ ,  $\lambda_9$ , or  $\lambda_{10}$ . Using PARI-GP and some extra programs due to Batut, we have made a computer search for lattices of minimum 3 containing as a hyperplane section one of the seven lattices quoted in Theorem 6.2, and tested for isometry the lattices we found. We state the result as a theorem:

**Theorem 6.5.** There are two (resp. five, resp. ten) well-rounded 6-dimensional lattices with s = 11 (resp. s = 10, resp. s = 9).  $\Box$  [Remarks. (1) The two lattices with s = 11 belong to the same minimal class; both contain a hyperplane section isometric to  $W_5$ . (2) One of the five

lattices with s = 10 is the the strongly eutactic, 5-modular lattice  $\bigwedge \mathbb{A}_{4}$ .]

Using Remark 6.4, we could show that for a 6-dimensional lattice of index 1 having an odd minimum, we have  $s \leq 12$ , (and also give a handycomputational proof for the bound  $s \leq 11$  when m = 3). We shall not give the nevertheless complicated details of the proof, and content ourselves with the following statement, which is easily verified using a computer:

**Theorem 6.6.** On the set of integral, well-rounded 6-dimensional lattices of index 1 and minimum m = 3 (resp. m = 5), the values taken by s constitute the interval [6, 11] (resp. [6, 12]).

[Note that since s runs through the whole interval [5, 10] for n = 5 and all  $m \ge 5$  odd, the set of values of s certainly contains the interval [6, 11] for n = 6 and all  $m \ge 5$  odd. Probably, the set of values taken by s on lattices of index 1 is the interval [6, 12] for all  $m \ge 5$  odd.]

#### 7. The index of a sublattice.

In the preceding section, we proved upper bounds for the kissing number of well-rounded 6-dimensional lattices of index 1. We now consider lattices having a larger index, and first study upper bounds of the index under various conditions for low-dimensional lattices. We shall give detailed proofs for  $n \leq 7$ , leaving to the reader some verifications for n = 8. We then prove some precise results for n = 6, i = 2 or 3, and n = 7, i = 3.

In the following table, the first two lines give the exact upper bound for the index, first among all lattices, then among those which are not similar to a root lattice. The third line (NHS) gives this bound on the set of lattices having *no hexagonal section* with the same minimum, and the last one (OddMin) on the set of lattices which are integral when scaled to some *odd minimum*.

**Theorem 7.1.** Let  $\Lambda$  be a well rounded lattice of dimension  $n \leq 8$ . Then we have for the index of  $\Lambda$  the following sharp upper bounds:

n	$\leq 3$	4	5	6	7	8
$i_{max}$	1	2	2	4	8	16
$i'_{max}$	1	1	2	3	4	8
NHS	1	1	2	3	4	6
OddMin	1	1	1	3	3	5

*Proof.* The proof heavily relies on results of [M1], in particular Table 11.1.

• Calculation of  $i_{\max}$  and  $i'_{\max}$ . It is proved in [M1] that the easy bound  $i_{\max} \leq \gamma_n^{n/2}$  is attained on suitably chosen root lattices, and that the better bound  $i \leq i'_{\max}$  holds except if  $\Lambda$  is similar to one of the lattices  $\mathbb{D}_4$ ,  $\mathbb{D}_6$ ,  $\mathbb{E}_7$ , and  $\mathbb{E}_8$ . (These results were indeed proved by Watson in [W1], except for the slightly weaker result  $i'_{\max} \leq 9$  if n = 8.)

From now on we only consider lattices satisfying condition (NHS).

• Dimension  $n \leq 5$ . There is nothing to prove for  $n \leq 4$ . If n = 5, consideration of the centred cubic lattice shows that index 2 may occur, and condition (C1) that integral lattices having an odd minimum have index 1. • Dimension n = 6. It suffices to exhibit an integral lattice of index 3 having an odd minimum. A more precise result will be proved below (Proposition 7.6).

• 2-elementary quotients. Suppose that  $\Lambda$  contains a sublattice L generated by vectors  $e_1, \ldots, e_n \in S$  and such that  $\Lambda/L$  is 2-elementary of dimension  $r \geq 2$  over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ . Then Table 11.1 of [M1] shows that  $n \geq 8$ , and that if n = 8, then r = 2 (and condition (C2) does not hold). Hence, for such a lattice, the index is smaller than the upper bound given in Theorem 2.1 under condition (OddMin). Moreover, a look at the three types listed in [M1] shows that extensions to index 8 with a quotient of type (4, 2) and no hexagonal sections are impossible. Since cyclic quotient of order 8 or 7 do not exist, we have proved the bound  $i \leq 6$  for 8-dimensional lattices.

• Dimension n = 7. Table 11.1 of [M1] shows that lattices of index 4 and cyclic type satisfying condition (NHS) are generated by minimal vectors  $e_1, \ldots, e_7$  together with  $e = \frac{e_1 + e_2 + e_3 + e_4 + e_5 + 2e_6 + 2e_7}{4}$ . The example given there, for which s = 7, obviously satisfies condition (NHS), but condition (C2) does not hold, since  $2e \equiv \frac{e_1 + e_2 + e_3 + e_4 + e_5}{2} \mod 4\Lambda$ . The existence of integral lattices of index 3 having an odd minimum follows from Theorem 1.2. • Dimension n = 8. The bound  $i \leq 6$  has been proved above. Table 11.1 of [M1] lists six types of index 6. A close look at the conditions given there shows that only one type (denoted by (1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3) in [M1]) may occur under condition (NHS), but the existence of components 1, 1, 1, 3, 3 shows that this type cannot be realized by an integral lattice of odd minimum; we leave the details to the reader, as well as the proof that an example of index 5 satisfying (OddMin) can be constructed using the type (1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2).

To study lattices of index 2 or 3, we first recall an identity of Watson:

**Lemma 7.2.** (Watson) Let  $e_1, \ldots, e_r \in E$ , let  $a_1, \ldots, a_r \in \mathbb{R}$ , let d > 0, and let  $e = \frac{a_1e_1 + \cdots + a_re_r}{d}$ . Denote by  $\operatorname{sgn}(x)$  the sign of the real number x (0 if x = 0). Then:

$$\left( (\sum_{i=1}^{n} |a_i|) - 2d \right) N(e) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |a_i| \left( N(e - \operatorname{sgn}(a_i) e_i) - N(e_i) \right). \quad \Box$$

From this identity, we easily deduce (see [M1], Section 2 for the details):

**Proposition 7.3.** (Watson) Let  $\Lambda$  be a lattice, let  $e_1, \ldots, e_r$  be minimal vectors in  $\Lambda$ , and let  $a_1, \ldots, a_r$  and  $d \geq 2$  be integers such that  $e = \frac{a_1e_1 + \cdots + a_re_r}{d}$  belongs to  $\Lambda$ . Then we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} |a_i| \ge 2d\,,$$

and equality holds if and only if  $e - \operatorname{sgn}(a_i)e_i$  is minimal for every index i with  $a_i \neq 0$ . Moreover, if equality holds and if  $a_i = \frac{d}{2}$  for some i, then e is also minimal.

In practice, if L is a sublattice of  $\Lambda$  having a basis  $(e_1, \ldots, e_n)$  of minimal vectors and if  $\Lambda/L$  is cyclic of order d, we may write  $\Lambda = \langle L, e \rangle$  with  $e = \frac{a_1e_1 + \cdots + a_re_r}{d}$ , where r and the  $a_i$  are integers such that  $r \leq n$  and  $1 \leq a_1 \leq \cdots \leq a_r \leq \frac{d}{2}$ .

**Remark 7.4.** If  $f = \frac{b_1e_1+\dots+b_re_r}{d}$  is minimal, then for any index *i*, the lattice generated by *f* and the  $e_j$  with  $j \neq i$  has index  $|b_i|$  in  $\Lambda$ . Hence the  $b_i$  are bounded by the index bound for dimension *r*.

**Example 7.5.** If n = 6 and  $\Lambda$  has index 3, then  $\Lambda$  is generated over a suitably chosen sublattice L having a basis of minimal vectors by a vector  $e = \frac{e_1 + e_2 + e_3 + e_4 + e_5 + e_6}{3}$ . Vectors in e + L are of the form  $f = \frac{b_1 e_1 + \dots + b_6 e_6}{3}$  with  $b_i \equiv 1 \mod 3$ . If such a vector is minimal, then the  $b_i$  are equal to 1 or -2. Hence minimal vectors in  $\Lambda \setminus L$  (up to sign) are of the form  $e - e_{i_1} - \dots - e_{i_k}$ .

**Proposition 7.6.** Well-rounded, 6-dimensional lattices of index 3 satisfying condition NHS constitute a single minimal class, whose elements have s = 12. This class contains integral lattices having an odd minimum m for all  $m \ge 7$  (unique if m = 7), but none for  $m \le 5$ .

*Proof.* Write as above  $\Lambda = \langle L, e \rangle$ . We know that the vectors  $e_i$  and  $e'_i = e - e_i$  are minimal, and we must show that under condition (NHS), there are no other minimal vectors in  $\Lambda$ .

First, if  $f = a_1e_1 + \cdots + a_ke_k$ , say, belongs to S(L), with non-zero  $a_i$ , a sublattice of index  $3a_i$  in  $\Lambda$  shows up. Thus we have  $a_i \in \{\pm 1\}$ , and  $k \geq 3$ . But if, say,  $f = e_1 + e_2 - e_3 \in S(L)$ , then we can write  $e = e_3 + \frac{f - e_3 + e_4 + e_5 + e_6}{3}$ , in contradiction with Proposition 7.3.

Next suppose that  $f = e - e_1 - \cdots - e_k$ , say, is an extra minimal vector. Then  $k \ge 3$  (because  $e - e_1 \in S$ ), and we have  $\frac{f + e_{k+1} + \cdots + e_6}{2} \in \Lambda$ , which implies  $7 - k \ge 5$ , i.e.  $k \le 2$ , again a contradiction. This proves that s = 12, and that the minimal class of  $\Lambda$  is well defined.

Lemma 7.2 applied to the minimal vector  $e - e_i$  reads

$$2(N(e) - m) + \sum_{j \neq i} (N(e - e_i - e_j) - m) = N(e - e_i).$$

Since the six terms in the left hand side are not zero, this identity implies  $m \ge 7$  (and even  $m \ge 14$  if m is even). If m = 7, we must have N(e) = 8,  $e \cdot e_i = \frac{1}{2}N(e) = 4$ , and  $e_i \cdot e_j = 1$  for  $j \ne i$ . These conditions define a unique lattice  $\Lambda$  of minimum m = 7. Thus, the lower bound  $m \ge 7$  is optimal. Examples for  $m \ge 7$  odd are obtained by taking for instance  $e_i \cdot e_j = \frac{m-5}{2}$  if i + j = 7 and  $e_i \cdot e_j = 1$  otherwise.

An *n*-dimensional, well-rounded lattice  $\Lambda$  of index 3 is of the form  $\Lambda = L \cup \pm (e + L)$  where L has a basis  $(e_1, \ldots, e_n)$  of minimal vectors and  $e = \frac{e_1 + \cdots + e_r}{3}$  for some integer r with  $6 \leq r \leq n$ . For n = 7, we have r = 6 or 7. If  $\Lambda$  is integral with an odd minimum m, we have seen that the first case may occur if and only if  $m \geq 7$ . We now consider the case where n = r = 7. We are going to prove a characterization of integral lattices of index 3 and minimum 3. However, before stating the result, we write down some identities valid for any lattice as above with r = n. The first two are Watson's identity (7.2) relative to e and  $e - e_i$ :

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( N(e - e_i) - m \right) = N(e); \qquad (*)$$

J. MARTINET, B. VENKOV

$$\forall i, 2 (N(e) - m) + \sum_{j \neq i} (N(e - e_i - e_j) - m) = (n - 5) N(e - e_i). \quad (**)$$

Taking the sum over i in (\*\*) and using (\*), we obtain the new identity

$$\sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} \left( N(e - e_i - e_j) - m \right) = \frac{n(n-3)}{2} m - \frac{n+5}{2} N(e) , \qquad (**')$$

which yields for the norm of e the upper bound  $N(e) \leq \frac{n(n-3)}{n+5}m$ , where equality holds if and only if the  $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$  vectors  $e - e_i - e_j$  are minimal.

**Lemma 7.7.** If  $\Lambda$  is integral and if both n and m are odd, then  $N(e) \geq n$ .

*Proof.* Since  $3e = \sum_i e_i$ , we have  $N(e) \equiv nm \equiv 1 \mod 2$ . This implies that  $N(e - e_i)$  is even for all i, whence  $N(e - e_i) \geq m + 1$  and  $N(e) \geq n$  by (\*).

**Theorem 7.8.** An integral 7-dimensional lattice of minimum 3 and index 3 is isometric to  $W_7 \simeq \sqrt{2} \mathbb{E}_7^*$ .

Proof. Since m = 3 < 7,  $\Lambda$  is of the form above. We have  $N(e) \leq \frac{n(n-3)}{n+5}m = 7$  and  $N(e) \geq n = 7$  by the previous lemma, hence N(e) = 7, then  $N(e-e_i) = 4$  for all i by (\*), which implies  $e \cdot e_i = 3$ , whence  $N(e-e_i-e_j) = 1+2e_i \cdot e_j$ , and finally  $e_i \cdot e_j = 1$ , since  $N(e-e_i-e_j) = 3$  by (\*\*'). This shows that the Gram matrix of the basis  $(e_1, \ldots, e_6, e)$  of  $\Lambda$  is uniquely defined, hence that up to isometry, there exists at most one possible lattice, which indeed exists, since we know  $W_7$ .

We display below Gram matrices first for  $(e_1, \ldots, e_7)$ , then for the basis  $(e - e_6 - e_7, e_2, \ldots, e_7)$  of  $\Lambda$ :

$$A_{28} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}; C_{28} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Lattices of minimum 5 and index 3 also are of the form above, and the upper bound which follows (\*\*') shows that N(e) takes one of the values 7, 9, or 11. The corresponding lattices have not been classified.

We now come back to dimension 6 and consider the problem of classifying integral lattices of index 2 and minimum 3.

**Theorem 7.9.** Let  $\Lambda$  be an integral 6-dimensional lattice of minimum 3 and index 2. Then  $\Lambda$  is isometric to one of three lattices  $W_6$  (the Watson lattice),  $W'_6$ ,  $W''_6$ , with s = 16, 12, 10 respectively.

*Proof.* Because index 2 does not occur in lower dimensions,  $\Lambda$  is of the form  $\Lambda = L \cup (e+L)$  where L possesses a basis  $(e_1, \ldots, e_6)$  of minimal vectors and

$$e = \frac{e_1 + e_2 + e_3 + e_4 + e_5 + e_6}{2}$$

Since we may change any signs in e (because, say,  $e - e_1 = \frac{-e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_6}{2}$ ), we may assume that e is the shortest of the vectors  $\frac{e_1 \pm e_2 \pm e_3 \pm e_4 \pm e_5 \pm e_6}{2}$ .

In our setting, Watson's identity now reads

$$\sum_{i} \left( N(e - e_i) - m \right) = 2 N(e) , \qquad (\dagger)$$

Using  $(\dagger)$  and the inequality  $N(e - e_i) \ge N(e)$ , we obtain  $6(N(e) - 3) \le 2N(e)$ , i.e.  $N(e) \le \frac{9}{2}$ , hence N(e) = 3 or 4. If N(e) = 4, then  $N(e - e_i) \equiv 1 \mod 2$ , thus  $N(e - e_i) \ge 5$ , and  $(\dagger)$  implies  $2N(e) \ge 12$ , a contradiction. Hence N(e) = 3, and again by  $(\dagger)$ , for all  $i, N(e - e_i) = 4$ , i.e.  $e \cdot e_i = 1$ , which also reads

$$\forall i, \ \sum_{j \neq i} e_i \cdot e_j = -1 \,.$$

Since  $|e_i \cdot e_j| \leq 1$ , the numbers of indices  $j \neq i$  such that  $e_i \cdot e_j = -1, 0, 1$  are equal to (3, 0, 2), (2, 2, 1), or (1, 4, 0). Denoting by  $t_1, t_2, t_3$  the respective numbers of the systems above, we have  $s(\Lambda) = 7 + \frac{1}{2}(3t_1 + 2t_2 + t_1)$ . We recover in this way the bound  $s \leq 16$ , and the fact that this is attained if and only if  $t_3 = 6$ .

Also, we have  $N(e - e_i - e_j) = 5 + 2e_i \cdot e_j$ , so that  $e - e_i - e_j$  is minimal if and only if  $e_i \cdot e_j = -1$ , and the condition  $N(e - e_i - e_j - e_k) \ge 4$  (which holds because this vector has an even norm) amounts to  $e_i \cdot e_j + e_i \cdot e_k + e_j \cdot e_k \ge -1$ .

Suppose first that a system (3, 0, 2) exists for some *i*. We may then assume that  $e_1 \cdot e_2 = e_1 \cdot e_3 = e_1 \cdot e_4 = -1$  and  $e_1 \cdot e_5 = e_1 \cdot e_6 = +1$ . This implies successively  $e_2 \cdot e_3 = e_2 \cdot e_4 = +1$ , then  $e_2 \cdot e_5 = e_2 \cdot e_6 = -1$ , and similarly  $e_3 \cdot e_4 = +1$  and  $e_3 \cdot e_5 = e_3 \cdot e_6 = -1$ , and finally  $e_4 \cdot e_5 = e_4 \cdot e_6 = -1$  and  $e_5 \cdot e_6 = +1$ . This proves that for all *i*, we have a system (3, 0, 2), that the corresponding lattice is unique up to isometry, hence isometric to  $W_6$ , and that we have s < 16 otherwise.

Suppose now that no system (3, 0, 2) exists, but that there is a system (2, 2, 0). We may then assume that  $e_1 \cdot e_2 = e_1 \cdot e_3 = -1$ ,  $e_1 \cdot e_4 = e_1 \cdot e_5 = 0$ , and  $e_1 \cdot e_6 = +1$ . Arguments like those we used in the first case then show that we have a unique choice for the other products  $e_i \cdot e_j$ , and that for i = 1, 2, 3, 6 (resp. i = 4, 5), we have systems of type (2, 0, 1) (resp. (1, 4, 0)). This time, we have s = 12, again attained on a unique lattice (up to isometry) which we denote by  $W'_6$ , but we have s = 10 if all systems are of type (1, 4, 0). The case where s = 10 can be dealt with with similar arguments that we leave to the reader.

Putting together Theorems 6.5 and 7.9, we indeed obtain the following theorem which proves for dimension 6 the results stated in the introduction:

**Theorem 7.10.** The set of values taken by s on 6-dimensional, well-rounded lattices of minimum 3 is  $[6, 12] \cup \{16\}$ .

A computer search easily produces lattices of minimum 5 and index 2 with s running through the interval [12, 16] This shows:

**Theorem 7.11.** The set of values taken by s on 6-dimensional, well-rounded lattices of minimum 5 is the interval [6, 16].

Probably, the same result holds for all  $m \ge 7$  odd.

**Remark 7.12.** We found only one lattice of minimum 5 for each of the values 13, 14, 15, 16 of s. Probably, these four lattices are unique. In contrast, we found many examples with a lower value of s, including one with index 2 and s = 6.

A lattice  $\Lambda$  of dimension 7 and index i = 2 having an odd minimum extends a 6-dimensional lattice with the same property. This proves that when its minimum is 3, if  $i \geq 2$ , then  $\Lambda$  has a hyperplane section isometric to one of the three lattices  $W_6$ ,  $W'_6$  or  $W''_6$  (if i = 3, then  $\Lambda \simeq W_7 \supset W_6$ ). However, new possibilities exist in dimension 8. We give below a partial result:

**Proposition 7.13.** Let  $\Lambda$  be an 8-dimensional lattice of minimum 3 and index  $i \geq 3$ . Then either  $\Lambda$  has a section isometric to  $W_6$ ,  $W'_6$  or  $W''_6$ , or  $s(\Lambda) \leq 15$ .

*Proof.* We assume for the proof  $\Lambda$  has no 6-dimensional section of minimum 3 isometric to  $W_6$ ,  $W'_6$  or  $W''_6$ . Hence all sublattices of  $\Lambda$  of minimum 3 and dimension  $\leq 7$  have index 1.

(a) We first prove that index 4 is impossible. Indeed, we could write otherwise  $\Lambda = \langle e_1, \ldots, e_8, e \rangle$  with minimal  $e_i$  and  $e = \frac{e_1 + \cdots + e_8}{4}$ . By Watson's identity, the vectors  $e - e_i$  are minimal. Applied to  $e - e_i$ , this identity reads  $(N(e) - 3) + \sum_{j \neq 1} (N(e - e_1 - e_j) - 3) = 2N(e - e_1) = 6$ , a contradiction, since the left hand side is made of eight odd terms.

(b) Next we observe that in case of index 3 or 5, writing  $\Lambda$  as above, then for the lattice L generated by the  $e_i$ , we have s(L) = 8. For instance, is  $e = \frac{e_1 + \dots + e_8}{3}$  and if, say,  $e' = e_1 + e_2 - e_3$  were minimal, we could write  $e - e' = \frac{e' - e_3 + e_4 + \dots + e_8}{3}$ , and an index 3 shows up in dimension 7; the same kind of arguments work in all cases of index 3 or 5.

(c) We now prove that  $s \leq 8$  if i = 5. Write  $e = \frac{e_1 + \dots + e_r + 2e_{r+1} + \dots + 2e_8}{5}$ . We have  $\Lambda = L \cup \pm (e + L) \cup \pm (2e + L)$ , and replacing e by 2e amounts to exchanging r and 8 - r; we also have  $2 \leq r \leq 6$ . The minimal vectors of e + L are of the form  $e - e_i - e_j - \dots$ . If  $i \leq r$ , an index 4 occurs by (a); by Watson's identity, this always occurs if r = 6. We may thus assume that  $3 \leq r \leq 5$ . If some  $e - e_i - e_j - \dots$  is minimal, then we can construct a lattice of dimension  $\leq 7$  and index 3. If the minimal vectors are among e or the  $e - e_i$ , then there are at least two coefficients 2 in the denominator

of e, and we may use them this time to construct a lattice of index 2 and dimension 7. Hence we have  $S(\Lambda) \subset S(L)$ , whence  $s(\Lambda) \leq s(L) = 8$ .

(d) Finally, let  $e = \frac{e_1 + \dots + e_8}{3}$ . Then *e* has even norm. Hence the minimal vectors of  $\Lambda \setminus L$  are of the form  $e - e_i - e_j - \dots$  with  $1, 3, \dots$  indices  $i, j, \dots$  If, say, some vector  $e' = e - e_1 - e_2 - e_3 - \dots$  is minimal, then  $e' + e_1 + e_2 + e_3 = \frac{e' + e_4 + \dots + e_8}{2}$ , and an index 2 shows up in dimension 6. This shows that the minimal vectors in  $\Lambda \setminus L$  lie among the  $e - e_i$ . Since  $\sum_i (N(e - e_i) - 3) = 2N(e) > 0$ , there are at most 7 such vectors, whence the (actually, not optimal) bound  $s \leq 15$ .

**Remark 7.14.** The proposition above shows that an 8-dimensional lattice  $\Lambda$  of index  $i \geq 2$  with no section  $W_6$ ,  $W'_6$ ,  $W''_6$  and  $s \geq 16$ , if any, may be written in the form  $\Lambda = \langle e_1, \ldots, e_8, e \rangle$  with  $e_i$  and  $e = \frac{e_1 + \cdots + e_8}{2}$  minimal. Then its other minimal vectors, up to sign, are of one of the forms  $e - e_i - e_j$  or  $e - e_i - e_j - e_k - e_\ell$ .

### 8. The minimum of the dual lattice.

In the remainder of the paper, we only consider (unless otherwise explicitly stated) integral lattices  $\Lambda$  of minimum 3. To construct cross-sections with the same minimum and comparatively large values of s, we shall need to bound the minimum of the dual lattice  $\Lambda^*$  of  $\Lambda$ . Some "critical" values (especially  $\frac{2}{3}$ , 1,  $\frac{4}{3}$ ) will show up.

Recall that the Hermite invariant of a lattice L is  $\gamma(L) = \frac{\min L}{\det(L)}$  and that the Hermite constant for dimension n is  $\gamma_n = \sup_{\dim L=n} \gamma(L)$ . What we really need are their dual versions (the geometric means of their values for a lattice and its dual)  $\gamma'(L)$  and  $\gamma'_n$ : indeed, we have

$$\gamma'(L)^2 = \gamma(L)\gamma(L^*) = \min L \, \min L^* \,,$$

hence

$$\min \Lambda^* = \frac{\gamma'(\Lambda)^2}{3} \le \frac{{\gamma'_n}^2}{3} \,. \tag{(*)}$$

However, our knowledge on  $\gamma'_n$  is very poor, and most of the time, we shall have to content ourselves with the trivial bound  $\gamma'_n \leq \gamma_n$ . The values of  $\gamma_n$  and the corresponding critical lattices are known up to n = 8. Beyond dimension 8, upper bounds for  $\gamma_n$ , coming from estimations of Rogers, can be obtained from Table 1.2 of [C-S]; [C-S] uses the center density  $\delta$ ; we have  $\gamma_n = 4 \, \delta_n^{2/n}$ . (Roger's bounds have been recently improved by Cohn and Elkies in [C-E]; the new bounds could be used to shorten the proof of Theorem 8.2.)

We are going to prove three theorems for  $n \leq 7$ , n = 8, and n = 9 respectively. Recall that  $\Lambda$  stands for an integral lattice of minimum 3.

**Theorem 8.1.** For  $n \leq 7$ , we have  $\min \Lambda^* \leq 1$ , and equality holds if and only if n = 7 and  $\Lambda \simeq W_7$ .

Proof. For  $n \leq 6$ , the result follows from (\*), using the crude estimate  $\gamma_n < \sqrt{3}$ . Let now n = 7. Set  $d = \det(\Lambda)$ . The even part of  $\Lambda$  has determinant 4d and minimum  $m \geq 4$ . We have  $\gamma(\Lambda_{even}) \geq \frac{4}{(4d)^{1/7}}$  and  $\gamma(\Lambda_{even}) \leq \gamma_7 = \gamma(\mathbb{E}_7) = 2^{6/7}$ , hence  $d \geq 2^6$ . In the other direction, we have  $\det(\Lambda^*) = \frac{1}{d}$ . Thus if  $\min \Lambda^* \geq 1$ , then  $\gamma(\Lambda^*) \geq d^{1/7}$ , and  $\gamma(\Lambda^*) \leq \gamma_7 = 2^{6/7}$  implies  $d \leq 2^6$ . Hence if  $\min \Lambda^* \geq 1$ , then  $\min \Lambda^* = 1$ ,  $\gamma(\Lambda^*) = \gamma(\mathbb{E}_7)$ , and since  $\mathbb{E}_7$  is (up to scale) the unique critical 7-dimensional lattice,  $\Lambda^*$  is isometric to  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \mathbb{E}_7$ , and  $\Lambda$  to  $\sqrt{2} \mathbb{E}_7^* \simeq W_7$ . Conversely,  $W_7^*$  obviously has minimum 1.

The same kind of methods can be used to handle the case of dimension 9, but the result we obtain is probably far from being optimal.

# **Theorem 8.2.** For n = 9, we have $\min \Lambda^* < \frac{4}{3}$ .

*Proof.* We use Roger's bound  $\gamma_9 < 2.1411672$  (Table 1.2 of [C-S] gives  $\delta_9 \leq 0.06007$ ).

Let  $d = \det(\Lambda)$ . We have as above  $\det(\Lambda_{even}) = 4d$  and  $\min \Lambda_{even} \ge 4$ , which implies  $\gamma(\Lambda_{even}) \ge \frac{4}{(4d)^{1/9}}$ , hence  $d \ge 4^8 \gamma_9^{-9} > 69.28...$ ; similarly, if  $\min \Lambda^* \ge \frac{4}{3}$ , we have  $\gamma(\Lambda^*) \ge \frac{4}{3} \cdot d^{1/9}$ , hence  $d \le (\frac{3}{4})^9 \gamma_9 < 71.02...$  We have thus proved that d must be equal to 70 or 71. Since these integers are square free, d is the annihilator of  $\Lambda^*/\Lambda$ , which shows that  $\min \Lambda^* = \frac{p}{q}$  with q = d and (p, q) = 1. We then have  $p \le \lfloor \gamma_9 d^{1/9} q \rfloor$ , i.e.  $p \le 93$  if d = 70 and  $p \le 94$  if d = 71. But  $\min \Lambda^* = \frac{p}{q} \ge \frac{4}{3}$  now reads  $p \ge 93.33...$  if d = 70 and  $p \ge 94.66...$  if d = 71, which contradicts the bounds above.

To handle the case of dimension 8, we shall use different techniques, namely the possibility of embedding any integral lattice into a unimodular one:

**Theorem 8.3.** (Conway and Sloane.) An n-dimensional integral lattice can be embedded in an (odd) unimodular lattice of dimension  $n' \leq n+3$ .

*Proof.* This is Corollary 8 of [C-S1].

First, we prove a general lemma.

**Lemma 8.4.** Let L be an odd n-dimensional sublattice of a unimodular lattice M of the form  $M_0 \perp \mathbb{Z}^k$  where  $M_0$  is even. Then  $\min L^* \leq 1$ . Moreover, if equality holds, then either  $M_0 \neq 0$  or L can be embedded in  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ .

*Proof.* We may assume that k is minimal. Denote by  $(\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_k)$  the canonical basis for  $\mathbb{Z}^k$ . Since L is odd, k is non-zero. Since k is minimal, the  $\varepsilon_i$  do not lie in  $L^{\perp}$  (for otherwise, L could be embedded in  $M_0 \perp \mathbb{Z}^{k-1}$ ). Hence the projections  $\varepsilon'_i$  of the  $\varepsilon_i$  onto the span of L are non-zero. For all  $x \in L$ , we have  $x \cdot \varepsilon'_i = x \cdot \varepsilon_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Hence the vectors  $\varepsilon'_i$  are non-zero vectors in  $L^*$ . We thus have

$$\min L^* \le \min N(\varepsilon_i) \le \min N(\varepsilon_i) = 1.$$

Moreover, if  $\min L^* = 1$ , all  $\varepsilon'_i$  have norm 1, hence coincide with the  $\varepsilon_i$ . Since the  $\varepsilon_i$  are independent, we then have dim  $L \ge k$ , whence dim L = k if  $M_0 = 0$ .

[Actually, the strict inequality min  $L^* < 1$  holds for any non-zero sublattice of  $\mathbb{Z}^k$  (even or odd) which is not isometric to a sublattice of  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ .]

We now return to the case of a lattice  $\Lambda$  with min  $\Lambda = 3$  and dim  $\Lambda = 8$ . Again, the results we are going to prove are probably far from being optimal. The lemma below is just a first step towards the proof of Theorem 8.6:

## **Lemma 8.5.** For n = 8, we have $\min \Lambda^* \leq 1$ .

*Proof.* We consider more generally an integral lattice  $\Lambda$  of minimum 3 and dimension  $n \leq 8$ . By Conway and Sloane's theorem 8.3,  $\Lambda$  can be embedded in an (odd) unimodular lattice M of dimension  $n' \leq n+3$ . We still assume that n' is the smallest possible dimension. Since dim  $M \leq 11$ , M is isomorphic to a lattice  $\mathbb{Z}^k$ ,  $k \leq 11$ , or  $\mathbb{E}_8 \perp \mathbb{Z}^k$ ,  $k \leq 3$ . (This is a theorem of Kneser; see [C-S], Table 16.7.) The lemma now follows immediately from Lemma 8.4.

With respect to the bounds for s to be proved in the next section, the value 1 for min  $\Lambda^*$  is critical: the results we shall obtain under the assumption min  $\Lambda^* \leq 1$  are better when the strict inequality holds. The following partial result will suffice:

**Theorem 8.6.** An 8-dimensional lattice  $\Lambda$  which is generated by its minimal vectors satisfies the strict inequality min  $\Lambda^* < 1$ .

Proof. We first prove the theorem in the case where  $\Lambda$  can be embedded in some  $\mathbb{Z}^k$ . By Lemma 8.4, it suffices to consider the case where  $\Lambda$  is a sublattice of  $\mathbb{Z}^8$ . We then denote by h the index  $[\mathbb{Z}^8 : \Lambda]$ . Suppose that  $\min \Lambda^* = 1$ , and let  $d = \det(\Lambda)$ . We have  $\gamma(\Lambda^*) = d^{1/8} \leq \gamma_8 = 2$ , hence  $d \leq 2^8$ , and indeed  $d < 2^8$ , since  $\Lambda$  is not similar to  $\mathbb{E}_8$ . Calculating  $\gamma(\Lambda_{even})$ , we obtain the bound  $4(4d)^{-1/8} < 2$ , i.e.  $d > 2^6$ . Hence we have 8 < h < 16. Let  $(\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_8)$  be the canonical basis for  $\mathbb{Z}^8$ , set  $H = \mathbb{Z}^8/\Lambda$  and h = |H|, and let  $\varphi : \mathbb{Z}^8 \to H$  be the canonical surjection. Then the 16 vectors  $\varphi(\pm \varepsilon_i)$ are non-zero and distinct except perhaps for a pair  $\pm \varepsilon_i$ , for otherwise,  $\Lambda$ would contain vectors of norm 1 or 2 (namely, some  $\varepsilon_i$  or some  $\varepsilon_i \pm \varepsilon_j$ ), and we would have h > 16, a contradiction.

In case *H* contains a pair  $\pm \varepsilon_i$ , *h* is even, hence equal to 10, 12 or 14. Since  $[\Lambda^* : \mathbb{Z}^8] = [\mathbb{Z}^8 : \Lambda] = h$ , there exists in  $\Lambda^*$  a vector of the form  $e = \frac{a_1\varepsilon_1 + \dots + a_8\varepsilon_9}{p}$  for p = 3, 5 or 7. Reducing *e* modulo  $\mathbb{Z}^8$ , we may assume that  $|a_i| \leq \frac{p-1}{2}$ . We now consider the three possible values for *p*.

 $\underline{p=3}$ . We have  $|a_i| \le 1$ , hence  $N(e) \le \frac{8}{9} < 1$ .

 $\overline{p=5}$ . Replacing e by 2e if need be, we may assume that there are at most

 $\boxed{\lfloor\frac{8}{2}\rfloor} = 4 \text{ coefficients } a_i \text{ equal to } \pm 2. \text{ Hence } N(e) \leq \frac{4 \cdot 2^2 + 4 \cdot 1^2}{25} = \frac{4}{5} < 1.$   $\underline{p = 7}. \text{ Replacing } e \text{ by } 2e \text{ or } 3e \text{ if need be, we may assume that there are at most } \lfloor\frac{8}{3}\rfloor = 2 \text{ coefficients } a_i \text{ equal to } \pm 3. \text{ Hence } N(e) \leq \frac{2 \cdot 3^2 + 6 \cdot 2^2}{49} = \frac{6}{7} < 1.$ 

This completes the proof of Theorem 8.6 for lattices contained in a  $\mathbb{Z}^k$ .

We are now left with the three cases where  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^k \perp \mathbb{E}_8$ , k = 1, 2, or 3. We still denote by  $(\varepsilon_i)$  the canonical basis for  $\mathbb{Z}^k$ . The minimal vectors of  $\Lambda$  are of the form either  $\varepsilon_i + \tau$ , where  $\tau$  is a root of  $\mathbb{E}_8$ , or also  $\pm (\varepsilon_1 \pm \varepsilon_2 \pm \varepsilon_3)$  if k = 3. We denote by  $\mathcal{R}_i$  the set of roots  $\tau \in \mathbb{E}_8$  such that  $\varepsilon_i + \tau$  is minimal in  $\Lambda$ .

Let L be the orthogonal projection of  $\Lambda$  on the span of  $\mathbb{E}_8$ , This is a lattice of dimension 8 - k, generated by the roots  $\tau \in \mathbb{E}_8$  such that  $\varepsilon_i + \tau \in S(\Lambda)$ for some index i. We have the inclusion  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^k \perp L$ . If L has a component of type  $\mathbb{A}_\ell$ , then  $\min \Lambda^* < 1$ , and there is nothing to prove. Otherwise, L is isometric either to  $\mathbb{D}_{8-k} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{8-k}$ , and L is again a sublattice of  $\mathbb{Z}^8$  for which we have just proved the bound  $\min \Lambda^* < 1$ , or to  $\mathbb{E}_7$  (resp.  $\mathbb{E}_6$ ), and then k = 1 (resp. 2).

First consider the case where  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z} \perp \mathbb{E}_7$ . The set  $\mathcal{R}$  must then span  $\mathbb{R} \mathbb{E}_7$ and be of affine rank 7, hence must be equal to  $\widetilde{E}_7$ . A Gram matrix for  $\Lambda$  is of the form  $J_8 + M$  where M is a Gram matrix for  $\widetilde{E}_7$  and  $J_8$  denotes the all ones  $8 \times 8$  matrix. A direct calculation shows that min  $\Lambda^* = \frac{43}{81} = 0.530... < 1$ .

Finally, we consider the more difficult case where  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^2 \perp \mathbb{E}_6$ . We suppose that  $\min \Lambda^* = 1$ .

Let  $H = (\mathbb{Z}^2 \perp \mathbb{E}_6)/\Lambda$  and let h = |H|. We first prove that H is elementary Abelian of order 9. We have

$$\gamma(\Lambda^*) = \det(\Lambda^*)^{-1/6} = \det(\Lambda)^{1/6} = (3h^2)^{1/6} \le \gamma_8 = 2,$$

whence  $h^2 \leq \sqrt{\frac{256}{3}}$ , i.e.  $h \leq 9$ . For each  $a \in H$ , denote by  $\mathcal{R}_a$  the set of roots of  $\mathbb{E}_6$  which map to a. If  $r_1, r_2$  are distinct roots in  $\mathcal{R}_a, r_1 - r_2$  is a non-zero element of  $\Lambda$ , which implies  $N(r_1 - r_2) \geq 3$ , hence  $r_1 \cdot r_2 \leq 0$ . Thus  $\mathcal{R}_a$  is an affine root system. If 2a = 0, since  $r_1 + r_2$  also belongs to  $\Lambda$ , we have  $r_1 \cdot r_2 = 0$ , hence  $\mathcal{R}_a$  is of type  $k\mathbb{A}_1$  with  $k \leq 4$  ( $\mathbb{E}_6$  has deficiency 2). We thus have  $|\mathcal{R}_a| \leq 8$  in this case. Conversely, if  $\mathcal{R}_a$  contains a component  $A_1$ , then 2a = 0, since  $r_1 + (-r_1) = 0$ . Consequently, if  $2a \neq 0$ ,  $\mathcal{R}_a$  has no component  $A_1$ , which implies  $|\mathcal{R}_a| \leq 9$ , with equality if and only if  $\mathcal{R}_a$  is of type  $3\tilde{A}_2$ , the unique largest possible system of rank 6. Since  $\mathcal{R}_0 = \emptyset$ , we have  $\sum_{a \in H} |\mathcal{R}_a| \leq 9(h-1) = 72$ , and since  $\mathbb{E}_6$  contains exactly 72 roots, we must have h = 9, and indeed H must be elementary, since  $\mathcal{R}_a$  is of type  $3\tilde{A}_2$ . So  $E_6$  is the union of four systems  $\{a, -a\}$  of type  $3A_2$  graded by  $H/\{1, -1\}$ .

Choose a system  $3\mathbf{A}_2$  as above in some class  $a \in H$ , and denote by  $r_i, 1 \leq i \leq 9$  its elements, ordered in such a way that  $r_i + r_{i+1} + r_{i+2} = 0$  for i = 1, 4, 7. Let r be any root in  $\mathbf{E}_6$ . Then  $r \cdot r_1 + r \cdot r_2 + r \cdot r_3 = 0$ , so that if  $r \neq r_1, r_2, r_3$ , the set  $(r \cdot r_1, r \cdot r_2, r \cdot r_3)$  is (0, 0, 0) or a permutation of (1, 0, -1). Clearly, the first case occurs for any  $r \in \{r_4, \ldots, r_9\}$  and the second one for any  $r \neq \pm r_1, \ldots, \pm r_9$  (write r as a combination of  $r_1, r_2, r_4, r_5, r_7, r_8$  with coefficients  $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  not all zero, hence, say,  $a_1 \neq 0$ ; then  $r \cdot r_1 = 2a_1 - a_2$  must be  $\pm 1$ ). Since  $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{E}_6)$  acts transitively on its sublattices of type  $\mathbb{A}^{\pm 3}_2$ ,

we may assume (up to isometry) first that L contains the lattice  $L_7$  with basis  $(\varepsilon_1 + r_i)$ , i = 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, 3, whose minimal vectors are the 9 pairs  $\pm(\varepsilon_1+r_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, 9$ , then that  $\Lambda$  is obtained by extending  $L_7$  with a vector  $\varepsilon_2 + r$ . Its minimal vectors are the 18 pairs  $\pm(\varepsilon_1 + r_i)$ ,  $\pm(\varepsilon_2 + r'_i)$ , where  $\{r'_i\}$  is a system of type  $3A_2$  containing r. Using automorphisms of  $\mathbb{E}_6$ , we can perform all circular permutations inside the three systems (-1, 0, 1)occurring as scalar products  $r \cdot r_i$ , and we can realize the transpositions using convenient sign changes of r,  $(r_4, r_5, r_6)$  and  $(r_7, r_8, r_9)$ . This shows that the lattice  $\Lambda$  is unique up to isometry and that

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 3 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 -1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

is a Gram matrix for  $\Lambda$ . Using this matrix, we have verified that  $\min \Lambda^* = \frac{7}{9} < 1$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 8.6.  $\Box$ [Remarks: (1) for a convenient ordering of the  $r_i$ , the vector  $\frac{-\varepsilon_1+r_1+r_4+r_7}{3}$  belongs to  $\Lambda^*$ ; this proves "by hand" the bound  $\min \Lambda^* \leq \frac{7}{9}$ . (2) The lattice  $\Lambda$  above is a section of codimension 2 of the short Coxeter-Todd lattice. We have verified that it cannot be embedded into a strictly

Todd lattice. We have verified that it cannot be embedded into a strictly larger integral, 8-dimensional lattice of minimum 3.]

As for dimension 9, the methods used to prove Theorem 8.6 do not apply, because of the existence of the odd unimodular lattice  $\mathbb{D}_{12}^+$ , which would only yield the weak bound min  $\Lambda^* \leq 2$ .

### 9. Bounds relative to a hyperplane section.

We still consider an integral lattice of minimum 3, whose dimension is denoted by n. Hyperplane cross-sections of  $\Lambda$  are in one-to-one correspondence with pairs  $\pm e$  of primitive vectors in its dual  $\Lambda^*$  (we associate with e the section of  $\Lambda$  by  $e^{\perp}$ ). Under various assumptions about the norm of such a vector, we shall prove lower bounds for the kissing number of  $e^{\perp}$ , and use these bounds to prove the assertions of the introduction relative to dimensions  $n \leq 8$ . Most of the proofs make use of root systems. However, our first results relies on the following well-known lemma, for which we shall nevertheless give a proof:

**Lemma 9.1.** Let V be a Euclidean space, and let  $T \subset V$  be a set of vectors with strictly negative mutual scalar products. Then  $|T| \leq 1 + \dim V$ .

*Proof.* If the vectors in T are independent, then  $|T| \leq \dim V$ . Otherwise, there exists a non-trivial dependence relation, that we may write in the form

$$\sum_{x \in T_1} \lambda_x \, x = \sum_{y \in T_2} \lambda_y \, y \,,$$

where  $T = T_1 \cup T_2$  is a partition of T and  $\lambda_x > 0$  (resp.  $\lambda_x \leq 0$ ) if  $x \in T_1$  (resp.  $x \in T_2$ ). Since it is a norm, the scalar product of the two sides of the

#### J. MARTINET, B. VENKOV

equality above is non-negative. Hence  $\sum_{x \in T_1, y \in T_2} \lambda_x \lambda_y (x \cdot y) \ge 0$ , which implies that all  $\lambda_y, y \in T_2$  are zero, or otherwise stated, that any linear relation on T, has non-negative (or non-positive) coefficients. It is then easy to see that this implies that such a relation is unique up to proportionality.  $\square$ 

An example of n+1 vectors as above in an *n*-dimensional lattice is provided by a suitably chosen half-system of minimal vectors in  $\mathbb{A}_n^*$ .]

We shall apply the lemma above to systems of vectors associated with a suitably chosen vector  $e \in \Lambda^*$ . We say that a non-zero vector  $e \in \Lambda^*$  is reduced if its norm is minimal in the coset  $e + \Lambda$  modulo  $\Lambda$ . This amounts to the condition

$$\forall \, x \in \Lambda \,, \, \left| e \cdot x \right| \leq \frac{N(x)}{2} \, ;$$

 $\Lambda^*$  contains reduced vectors if and only if  $\Lambda$  is not unimodular.

Let  $e \in \Lambda^*$  be reduced. We then have  $|e \cdot x| \leq 1$  for all  $x \in S = S(\Lambda)$ . Let

$$S_i = \{x \in S \mid x \cdot e = i\}.$$

Then,  $S = S_0 \cup S_1 \cup S_{-1}$  is a partition of S (with  $S_{-1} = -S_1$ ), and  $S_0$  is the set of norm 3 vectors in the lattice  $\Lambda_0 = \Lambda \cap (\mathbb{R}e)^{\perp}$ , of dimension  $\leq n-1$ . Set  $s_1 = |S_1|$ .

We first state and prove a lemma concerning norm 1 vectors in  $\Lambda^*$ .

**Lemma 9.2.** Suppose that  $S_1$  is non-empty. Then for a reduced vector  $e \in \Lambda^*$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) 2e is a sum of two vectors in  $S_1$ .
- (2) N(e) = 1 and  $2e \in \Lambda$ .

*Proof.* Under hypothesis (1), we have  $2N(e) = e \cdot x + e \cdot y = 2$ , and of course  $2e \in \Lambda$ . Conversely, under hypothesis (2), given any  $x \in S_1$ , we have  $e \cdot (2e - x) = 1$  and N(2e - x) = 3, hence 2e = x + (2e - x). This proves that (1) is satisfied (and moreover, that  $x \mapsto 2e - x$  is an involution on  $S_1$ ).

**Lemma 9.3.** Let  $e \in S(\Lambda^*)$ , set  $\alpha = N(e)$  and  $\beta = 1/\alpha$ , and let x, y be distinct vectors in  $S_1$ .

- (1) If 2e = x + y, then  $\alpha = 1$  and  $x \cdot y = -1$ .
- (2) If  $\alpha < \frac{4}{3}$  and either  $\alpha \neq 1$  or  $\alpha = 1$  and e is not a sum  $x_1 + x_2$ ,  $x_i \in S_1, \text{ then } x \cdot y = 0 \text{ or } 1.$ (3) If  $\alpha < \frac{2}{3}$ , then  $x \cdot y = 1$ .

*Proof.* If 2e = x + y, then  $\alpha = 1$  by the lemma above, and N(x) + N(y) + $2x \cdot y = N(x+y) = 4$ , hence  $x \cdot y = -1$ .

Under the hypotheses of (2) or (3), 2e - x - y is non-zero. Since e is minimal in  $\Lambda^*$ , this implies  $N(2e - x - y) = 4\alpha - 2 + 2x \cdot y \ge \alpha$ , i.e.

$$x \cdot y \ge 1 - \frac{3}{2} \,\alpha \,.$$

If  $\alpha < \frac{4}{3}$  (resp.  $\alpha < \frac{2}{3}$ ), we then have  $x \cdot y > -1$  (resp.  $x \cdot y > 0$ ), which completes the proof of the lemma.

Let  $\overline{S}_1$  be the image of  $S_1$  under the translation  $x \mapsto \overline{x} = x - \beta e$ . Note that  $\overline{x}$  is the orthogonal projection of x onto  $(\mathbb{R} e)^{\perp}$ . In particular,  $\overline{S}_1$  is contained in  $(\mathbb{R} e)^{\perp}$ .

**Lemma 9.4.** Let  $e \in \Lambda^*$  with N(e) < 1 and let  $\Lambda_0 = \Lambda \cap (\mathbb{R} e)^{\perp}$ . Then:

- (1)  $s_1 \leq n$ .
- (2) If  $s_1 = n$ ,  $S_1$  generates a sublattice  $\Lambda_1$  of finite index in  $\Lambda$ .
- (3) If moreover s > n, the index  $[\Lambda : \Lambda_1]$  is even, and  $\Lambda$  contains a vector of the form  $f = \frac{e_1 + \dots + e_p e_{p+1} \dots e_{2p}}{2}$  for some p with  $6 \le 2p \le n$ .

*Proof.* (1) For  $x, y \in S_1$  we have

$$\bar{x} \cdot \bar{y} = (x - \beta e) \cdot (y - \beta e) = x \cdot y - \beta,$$

which shows that distinct vectors in  $S_1$  have a strictly negative scalar product, hence that  $s_1 \leq \dim(\mathbb{R} e)^{\perp} + 1 = n$  by Lemma 9.1.

(2) Let  $\sum_i \lambda_i e_i$  be a non-trivial dependence relation between the  $e_i$ . Between the projections of the  $e_i$  onto  $(\mathbb{R} e)^{\perp}$ , we have the relation  $\sum_i \lambda_i \bar{e}_i$ . The proof of Lemma 9.1 shows that this is unique up to proportionality, and that changing the signs if need be, we may assume that it has non-negative coefficients. Then  $\sum_i \lambda_i = \sum_i \lambda_i (e_i \cdot e) = 0$ , which implies that all  $\lambda_i$  are zero, a contradiction.

(3) The lattice  $\Lambda_1 \cap (\mathbb{R}e)^{\perp}$  is generated by the differences  $e_i - e_j$ , hence is contained in the even part of  $\Lambda_0$ . Since s > n,  $\Lambda_0$  contains a norm 3 vector  $f_0$ . Let a be the smallest integer such that  $a f_0 \in \Lambda_1$ . We have  $a f_0 \in \Lambda_1 \cap (\mathbb{R}e)^{\perp} \subset \Lambda_{even}$ . Since  $f_0 \in \Lambda \setminus \Lambda_{even}$ , a is even. Since  $e \cdot e_i = 1$ for all  $i, \Lambda \cap (\mathbb{R}e)^{\perp}$  contains a vector of the form  $f = \frac{e_1 + \dots + e_p - e_{p+1} - \dots - e_{2p}}{2}$  for some p with  $2p \leq n$ , and we have  $2p \geq 6$  since integral lattices of dimensions  $n \leq 5$  having an odd minimum must have index 1. This completes the proof of the lemma.  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 9.5.** Let  $\Lambda$  be a lattice with  $\min \Lambda = 3$  and  $\min \Lambda^* < 1$ . Then  $\Lambda$  has a hyperplane section  $\Lambda_0$  such that  $s(\Lambda) \leq S(\Lambda_0) + n$ .

*Proof.* Just apply the proposition above to a vector  $e \in \Lambda^*$  with norm N(e) < 1.

**Corollary 9.6.** If  $n \leq 8$  and  $\Lambda$  is not isometric to  $W_7$ , then  $\Lambda$  has a hyperplane section  $\Lambda_0$  such that  $s(\Lambda) \leq S(\Lambda_0) + n$ .

*Proof.* Apply the corollary above together with Theorems 8.1 and 8.6.  $\Box$ 

By the results of Section 7, if  $n \leq 5$ , every system of n independent minimal vectors in  $\Lambda$  generates  $\Lambda$ . Lemma 9.4 then shows that the corollary above is not optimal in these dimensions. It is for n = 6, since  $s(W_6) = 16$ and  $s(W_5) = 10$ , and it allows us to recover the bound  $s \leq 16$  for n = 6from the bound  $s \leq 10$  for n = 5. Note that in dimension 6, if  $\Lambda$  is not isometric to  $W_6$ , it has a hyperplane section  $\Lambda_0$  such that  $s(\Lambda) - s(\Lambda_0) \leq 5$ : this is clear if  $s(\Lambda) \leq 10$ , and the three lattices with  $11 \leq s < 16$  all have a section  $W_5$ , with s = 10. The classification results to be proved in the next section will show that in dimension 7, every lattice  $\Lambda$  with min  $\Lambda^* < 1$  has a section  $\Lambda_0$  with  $s(\Lambda) - s(\Lambda_0) \leq 6$ .

Applied to dimension 7, the results above imply interesting restrictions on the possible values for s. However, these results will be significantly improved in the next section, so that we state them as a mere proposition.

**Proposition 9.7.** Let  $\Lambda$  be an integral, 7-dimensional lattice of minimum 3. Then either s = 28 and  $\Lambda \simeq W_7$ , or  $\Lambda$  is isometric to a uniquely defined lattice  $W'_7$  with s = 18, or  $s(\Lambda) \leq 17$ .

Proof. Let  $\Lambda_0$  be a hyperplane section of  $\Lambda$  on which  $s_0 = s(\Lambda_0)$  attains its maximum. We know by Proposition 7.6 and Theorems 6.5 and 7.9 that either  $s_0 \leq 10$ , or  $\Lambda_0$  is one of the lattices  $W_6$  or  $W'_6$ , and then  $s_0 = 16$  or 12, or  $\Lambda_0$  is one of two lattices with s = 11. In particular, we have  $s_0 \leq 16$ , which immediately implies  $s \leq 23$ . Classifying the extensions of  $W_6$  (which could be fairly easily done by hand, thanks to the rich structure of  $S(W_6)$ ), we see that besides  $W_7$ , there are exactly three extensions of  $W_6$ , namely a lattice  $W'_7$  of determinant 128 with s = 18 and two lattices with s = 17 (a lattice  $W''_7$  of determinant 144 and  $W_6 \perp W_1$ ). This shows that either  $\Lambda$  is isometric to  $W_7$ , or  $s(\Lambda) \leq s(W'_6) + 7 = 19$ . To complete the proof of the proposition, we have used a computer search to classify the extensions of the remaining three lattices, and again only  $W'_7$ ,  $W''_7$  and  $W_6 \perp W_1$  showed up.  $\Box$ 

Using the proposition above together with the bound  $s - s_0 \leq 8$ , we can now prove:

**Proposition 9.8.** Let  $\Lambda$  be an integral, 8-dimensional lattice of minimum 3. Then either s = 30 and  $\Lambda \simeq W_8$ , or s = 29 and  $\Lambda \simeq W_7 \perp W_1$ , or  $s \leq 25$ .

Proof. We first classify the extensions of  $W_7$  (which can be fairly easily done by hand, thanks to the rich structure related to the Hamming code of  $S(W_7)$ ). We find this way  $W_8$  and  $W_7 \perp W_1$ . If  $\Lambda$  does not extend  $W_7$ , we have  $s_0 \leq 18$ , whence  $s \leq 26$ , and even  $s \leq 25$  if  $\Lambda$  does not extend  $W'_7$ . In this last case, we have checked that extensions of  $W'_7$  except  $W_8$  and a uniquely defined lattice  $W'_8$  of determinant 192 with s = 22 have  $s \leq 20$ .  $\Box$ 

We now return to the case of an arbitrary dimension n. The lemma that we state and prove below will be used in Section 11 to bound the kissing number for 9-dimensional, integral lattices of dimension 9.

**Lemma 9.9.** With the notation of Lemma 9.3, suppose that  $N(e) \leq 1$ , i.e.  $\beta \geq 1$ . Let  $t = (\beta(\beta - 1))^{(1/2)}$ , and let  $\overline{\overline{S}}_1$  be the image of  $S_1$  under the translation

$$x \mapsto \overline{\overline{x}} = t e + \overline{x} = (t - \beta) e + x.$$

(We have  $\overline{\overline{x}} = \overline{x}$  if and only if N(e) = 1.) If N(e) < 1, or if N(e) = 1 and e is not a sum x + y,  $x, y \in S_1$ , then  $\overline{\overline{S}}_1$  is the set of simple roots (of norm 2) in an affine root system of rank  $\leq n$ . We have  $s_1 \leq n+2$ .

*Proof.* We have

$$\overline{\overline{x}} \cdot \overline{\overline{y}} = \left( (t-\beta) e + x \right) \cdot \left( (t-\beta) e + y \right) = \frac{1}{\beta} \left( t-\beta \right)^2 + 2 \left( t-\beta \right) + x \cdot y = x \cdot y - 1.$$

This shows that  $\overline{\overline{x}} \cdot \overline{\overline{y}} = -2, -1, 0$  for  $x \cdot y = -1, 0, 1$ , that all vectors in  $\overline{\overline{S}}_1$ have norm 2, and that  $\overline{\overline{x}}, \overline{\overline{y}} = -2$  is equivalent to  $x \cdot y = -1 \iff N(x+y) = 4$ on the one hand, and to  $x + y = -2(t - \beta)e$  on the other hand. Comparing the norms, we obtain that

$$x \cdot y = -1 \iff (t - \beta)^2 \beta = 1 \iff \beta = 1,$$

and that when  $\beta = 1$ , then 2e = x + y. Consequently, vectors in  $\overline{\overline{S}}_1$  have mutual scalar products 0 or -1. Thus the elements of  $\overline{\overline{S}}_1$  can be viewed as the vertices of an affine Dynkin diagram defined on an affine space of dimension n-1.

Let S' be an irreducible component of the Dynkin diagram and let  $x \in S'$ . The structure of the Dynkin diagram (see [C-S], Table 4.1) shows that the equality  $\overline{\overline{x}} \cdot \overline{\overline{y}} = 0$  holds on  $S_1 \smallsetminus S'$  and for at least |S'| - 3 elements in S', hence for at least  $s_1 - 3$  vectors in  $\overline{\overline{S}}_1$ . Now, for  $y, z \in S_1$  such that  $\overline{\overline{x}} \cdot \overline{\overline{y}} = \overline{\overline{x}} \cdot \overline{\overline{z}} = 0$ , we have  $x \cdot y = x \cdot z = 1$ , hence  $(x - y) \cdot (x - z) = 2$  and N(x - y) = 4. This shows that the set  $\{x - y, | \overline{\overline{x}} \cdot \overline{\overline{y}} = 0\}$ , is a scaled copy of a root system of type  $\mathbb{A}_r$  contained in  $\mathbb{R}e^{\perp}$ , with  $r \geq s_1 - 3$ . We thus have  $s_1 - 3 \leq n - 1$ . 

This completes the proof of the proposition.

**Remark 9.10.** Except if S' defines an affine system of type  $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}_r$ ,  $r \geq 2$ , x can be chosen in such a way that |S'| - 2 or |S'| - 1 elements in S' are orthogonal to  $\overline{\overline{x}}$ . Hence if the bound  $s_1 = n + 2$  is sharp, all components of the root system  $\overline{S}_1$  must be of type  $A_r$ ,  $r \geq 2$ . Examples with  $s_1 = n+2$  are known for n = 10, with systems  $4\widetilde{A}_2$  and  $3\widetilde{A}_3$ , obtained on the lattices with even parts  $K'_{10}$  and  $Q_{10}$  respectively that we mentioned in Sections 2 and 8.

### 10. Dimensions 7 and 8.

In this section, in which we keep the notation of the previous section, we shall make more precise the results of Theorems 9.7 and 9.8. To this end, we shall prove new bounds for the difference  $s(\Lambda) - s(\Lambda_0)$  relying on properties of the index. As above,  $\Lambda$  stands for an integral, *n*-dimensional lattices (n = 7 or 8) of minimum 3 and  $s_0 = s(\Lambda_0)$ , where  $\Lambda_0$  is a hyperplane section of  $\Lambda$  whose kissing number is maximal. Recall that 6-dimensional lattices of minimum 3 have index i = 1 to within the three exceptions of  $W_6, W'_6, W''_6$ for which i = 2 (Theorem 6.5), that 7-dimensional lattices of minimum 3 have index 1 or 2, except  $W_7$  for which i = 3, and that lattices with  $i \ge 2$ are those which extend one of the lattices  $W_6, W'_6, W''_6$ . (In dimension 8, it is easily verified that  $i(W_8) = 4$  and  $i(W_7 \perp W_1) = 3$ ; a consequence of the results we are going to prove is that i = 1 or 2 otherwise.)

Unless otherwise stated, all lattices are integral and have minimum 3.

**Theorem 10.1.** Let  $\Lambda$  be an integral, 7-dimensional lattice of minimum 3. Then  $s(\Lambda) \leq 14$  to within the following five exceptions:  $W_7$  (s = 28),  $W'_7$  (s = 18),  $W''_7$  and  $W_6 \perp W_1$  (s = 17), and one lattice with s = 16. Moreover, there are four (resp. nine, resp. 27) lattices with s = 14 (resp. s = 13, resp. s = 12).

Since lattices of the form  $\Lambda \perp W_1$  with dim  $\Lambda = 6$  cover the interval [7, 13], we have:

**Corollary 10.2.** The set of values taken by s on the set of well-rounded, 7-dimensional lattices is  $[7, 14] \cup [16, 18] \cup \{28\}$ .

To prove Theorem 10.1, we shall take into account the index of  $\Lambda$ , making use of three lemmas.

**Lemma 10.3.** Besides the five lattices with  $s \ge 16$  listed in Theorem 10.1, there are seventeen 7-dimensional lattices of index 2, namely four with s = 14, six with s = 13, three with s = 12 and four with s = 11.

*Proof.* Just list and test for isometry the extensions of  $W_6, W'_6, W''_6$ .  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 10.4.** The 7-dimensional lattices of index 1 all have  $s \le 13$ . There are 3 such lattices with s = 13 and 24 with s = 12.

*Proof.* We know by Theorem 6.5 that there are altogether seventeen 6-dimensional lattices of index 1 with  $s \ge 9$  (and indeed, s = 9, 10, or 11). Listing the extensions of these lattices, we find 24 lattices with s = 12, 3 with s = 13, and none with  $s \ge 14$ . The problem is now to show that no new lattice shows up among the extensions of well-rounded, 6-dimensional lattices with s = 6, 7 or 8. This is a consequence of the following lemma, in which we more generally consider integral lattices of any odd minimum.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 10.5.** Let L be an integral, well-rounded, 7-dimensional lattice having an odd minimum m. If  $s(L) \ge 12$ , then L possesses a hyperplane section of minimum m with  $s \ge 9$ .

*Proof.* It involves many details, so that we only sketch it. We classify minimal classes of index 1 obtaining relatively easily the description of the 1+3+6+7=17 classes with  $s \leq 10$  which do not extend a 6-dimensional class with s = 9. The method is the one we sketched in Section 6 to deal with dimension 5, together with the following improvement: we have shown that the equivalence class of a *Bacher matrix* (defined in [Bt]) characterizes the corresponding minimal class; the proof is just a modification of the proof of a proposition due to Bergé (Proposition 2.9 in [Bt]). We prove that these classes can all be expressed using uniquely vectors with 3 or 5 components on a convenient basis  $\mathcal{B} = (e_1, \ldots, e_7)$  of minimal vectors (except for one

obvious class with s = 7). We distinguish two classes with s = 9, namely  $(a_9)$  and  $(b_9)$ , defined by

 $(a_9): e_8 = e_1 + e_2 + e_3, e_9 = e_1 + e_2 + e_4 \text{ and } (b_9): e_8 = e_1 + e_2 + e_3, e_9 = e_1 + e_4 + e_5,$ 

which we now use to list the seven classes with s = 10 which do not extend a 6-dimensional class with s = 9:

 $(a_{10}): (a_9), e_5 + e_6 + e_7; (b_{10}): (b_9), e_1 + e_6 + e_7; (c_{10}): (b_9), e_2 + e_6 + e_7;$ 

 $(d_{10}): (a_9), e_1 + e_2 + e_5 + e_6 + e_7; (e_{10}): (a_9), e_1 + e_3 + e_5 + e_6 + e_7;$ 

 $(f_{10}): (b_9), e_1 + e_2 + e_4 + e_6 + e_7; (g_{10}): (b_9), e_2 + e_3 - e_4 + e_6 + e_7.$ 

We now consider extensions of these seven minimal classes to a class with s = 11. It is easily verified that adding a vector with seven non-zero components to one of these classes amounts to adding a vector with three or five non-zero components to another one (maybe the same). Then we have considered in detail the first three classes and proved that adding a vector with five components yield classes which could all be expressed with four vectors with only three components. It is easy to check that a minimal class defined on  $\mathcal{B}$  by four extra minimal vectors having only three nonzero components always possesses a hyperplane section containing 9 pairs of minimal vectors. This proves Lemma 10.5 for classes with  $s \geq 11$  which extend  $(a_{10})$ ,  $(b_{10})$  or  $(c_{10})$ .

Since extending one of the four classes  $(d_{10}) - (g_{10})$  with a vector having three non-zero components on  $\mathcal{B}$  amounts to extending one of the classes  $(a_{10}), (b_{10}), (c_{10})$ , we are left with extensions of  $(d_{10}) - (g_{10})$  with a vector with five components.

In the case of  $(d_{10})$  or  $(e_{10})$ , any extension without a convenient hyperplane section must involve  $e_5$ ,  $e_6$  and  $e_7$ . It turns out that a characteristic determinant equal to  $\pm 2$  always shows up. In each of the cases  $(f_{10})$ ,  $(g_{10})$ , we find an essentially unique extension, which both define the same class  $(a_{11})$  with s = 11, and which cannot be extended to a class of index 1 with  $s \geq 12$ .

[Explicitly,  $(a_{11}) = (b_9), e_1 + e_2 + e_4 + e_6 + e_7, e_2 + e_3 + e_5 + e_6 + e_7.$ ]

*Proof of 10.1.* Clear using the three lemmas above.

We now consider 8-dimensional lattices.

**Theorem 10.6.** Let  $\Lambda$  be an integral, 8-dimensional lattice of minimum 3. Then  $s(\Lambda) \leq 20$  to within the following three exceptions:  $W_8$  (s = 30),  $W_7 \perp W_1$  (s = 29), and  $W'_8$  (s = 22). Moreover, there are seven lattices with s = 20.

*Proof.* We know by Theorem 8.6 and Lemma 9.4, (1) that  $\Lambda$  possesses a hyperplane section  $\Lambda_0$  of minimum 3 with  $s(\Lambda_0) \ge s(\Lambda) - 8$ . Since we have a complete list of small 7-dimensional lattices  $\Lambda_0$  with  $s(\Lambda_0) \ge 12$ , we can list all 8-dimensional lattices  $\Lambda$  with  $s \ge 20$ .

**Corollary 10.7.** The set of values taken by s on the set of well-rounded, 8-dimensional lattices is  $[8, 20] \cup \{22\} \cup \{29, 30\}$ .

*Proof.* Using the transformation  $\Lambda \mapsto \Lambda \perp W_1$  together with the results of Corollary10.2, we see that the set of values for s contains the union  $[8, 15] \cup [17, 19]$ . Taking into account Theorem 10.6, it suffices to exhibit a lattice with s = 16. An easy example is provided by the pull-back of the binary code generated by the four vectors

(1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0), (0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0), (1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0), (0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1),a 4-modular lattice of determinant 256.

We could prove a more precise result, the proof of which we just sketch:

**Theorem 10.8.** There are exactly five integral, 8-dimensional lattices of minimum 3 with s = 19.

To prove this theorem, since we know all  $\Lambda_0$  with  $s(\Lambda_0) \ge 12$ , it suffices to prove the existence of hyperplane sections  $\Lambda_0$  such that  $s(\Lambda) - s(\Lambda_0) \le 7$ . We can produce two kind of proofs for this result. The first one consists in studying closely the possible root systems that we have constructed in Section 9. The second one relies on considerations about the index. Using Proposition 7.13 for lattices of index  $i \ge 3$  and theorem 10.1 for lattices which extend a 7-dimensional lattice with  $s \ge 12$ , we are left with lattices of index 2 of the form  $\Lambda = \langle e_1, \ldots, e_8, f \rangle$  where  $e_1, \ldots, e_8$  are minimal vectors and  $f = \frac{e_1 + e_2 + e_3 + e_4 - e_5 - e_6 - e_7 - e_8}{2}$ . (See Remark 7.14; f is orthogonal to a minimal vector in  $\Lambda^*$ .) For these particular lattices, we can prove the existence of a hyperplane section  $\Lambda_0$  such that  $s(\Lambda) - s(\Lambda_0) \le 5$ .

#### 11. Bounds for dimension 9.

Let  $\Lambda$  be a 9-dimensional lattice of minimum 3 and let  $e \in S(\Lambda^*)$  be reduced. As above, let  $S_1 = \{x \in S(\Lambda) = S \mid e \cdot x = 1\}, s_1 = |S_1|, \alpha = N(e), \text{ and } \beta = \frac{1}{\alpha}.$ 

**Lemma 11.1.** If  $N(e) \neq 1$ , or if N(e) = 1 and  $2e \notin \Lambda$ , then  $s_1 \leq 13$ . [Note that if N(e) < 1 (resp. N(e) = 1), we have  $s_1 \leq 9$  (resp.  $s_1 \leq 11$ ) by Corollary 9.5 (resp. by Lemma 9.9) The proof will also show the following refinement: if  $\beta > 0.902$ , then  $s_1 \leq 12$ .]

Proof. Let  $\overline{S}_1$  and  $\overline{\overline{S}}_1$  be the images of  $S_1$  under the translations  $x \mapsto \overline{x} = x - \beta e$  and  $x \mapsto \overline{\overline{x}} = \overline{x} + \zeta e$  where  $\zeta$  is the (positive) square root of  $\beta(\beta - \frac{3}{4})$ . (This definition makes sense since we have proved the upper bound  $N(\Lambda^*) < \frac{4}{3}$ .) Note that  $\overline{S}_1$  is contained in the set of norm 3 vectors in the n - 1-dimensional lattice  $\Lambda \cap e^{\perp}$ , hence that  $\overline{\overline{S}}_1$  is contained in an affine hyperplane of  $\mathbb{R}^9$ .

Let  $x, y \in S_1$ . We have  $x \cdot y \ge 0$  by Lemma 9.3. An easy calculation then shows the formulae

$$\begin{split} \bar{x} \cdot \bar{y} &= x \cdot y - \beta \ge -\beta \\ & \text{and} \\ \\ \overline{\bar{x}} \cdot \overline{\bar{y}} &= \bar{x} \cdot \bar{y} + \zeta^2 \alpha = \bar{x} \cdot \bar{y} + \beta - \frac{3}{4} = x \cdot y - \frac{3}{4} \ge -\frac{3}{4} \,. \end{split}$$

This proves that  $\overline{\overline{x}} \cdot \overline{\overline{x}} = 3 - \frac{3}{4} = \frac{9}{4}$  and that  $\overline{\overline{x}} \cdot \overline{\overline{y}} = \frac{1}{4}$  or  $-\frac{3}{4}$  if  $\overline{\overline{y}} \neq \overline{\overline{x}}$ .

To get rid of denominators, we now replace  $\overline{S}_1$  by its scaled copy

$$Z = \{2z \mid z \in \overline{S}_1\};\$$

the formulae above now read  $z \cdot z = 9$  and  $z_1 \cdot z_2 = 1$  or -3 if  $z_2 \neq z_1$ .

Denote by A, B, C the number of pairs  $(z_1, z_2) \in Z \times Z$  such that  $z_1 \cdot z_2 = 9, 1, -3$  respectively. We have

$$A = |S_1|$$
 and  $A^2 = A + B + C$ .

We shall use this to express  $|S_1| = A$  in terms of the single parameter C. Set  $Z = \{z_1, \ldots, z_A\}$ , and let  $z = z_1 + \cdots + z_A$ . We have

$$N(z) = \sum_{i,j} z_i \cdot z_j = 9A + B - 3C = A^2 + 8A - 4C \ge 0$$

hence  $C \leq \frac{1}{4}A^2 + 2A$ . To obtain a lower bound for C, we consider the harmonic polynomial  $F_z(t) = \sum_i P_2^{(t)}(z_i)$ . (The notation is that of [V], §3.) We have

$$[F_z, F_z] = \sum_i \left( (z_i \cdot z_j)^2 - 0 \right) = 9^2 A + B + 9C - 9A^2$$
$$= 8(-A^2 + 10A + C) \ge 0.$$

Hence  $C \ge A^2 - 10A$ .

Comparing the two bounds above for C, we get

$$A^2 - 10A \le \frac{1}{4}A^2 + 2A \iff A \le 16$$
,

which is not yet optimal.

In the proof above, we used the weak inequality  $v \cdot v \ge 0$  valid for any vector v in any Euclidean vector space E, applied successively with  $E = \mathbb{R}^9$ and  $E = \text{Harm}_2(\mathbb{R}^9)$ . To go further, we shall on the one hand make a better choice for v, and on the other hand use the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in the form

$$v \cdot v \ge \frac{(v \cdot u)^2}{u \cdot u}$$

with a suitably chosen vector u.

First, we consider the vector  $t = z - \xi e$  where we chose  $\xi$  in order that t be orthogonal to e. We have  $t \cdot e = (\sum_i z_i - \xi e) \cdot e = 2A\zeta\alpha - \xi\alpha$ . Hence we take  $\xi = 2A\alpha$ . Then

$$t \cdot t = \left(\sum_{i} z_{i} - \xi e\right) \cdot \left(\sum_{j} z_{j} - \xi\right) = \sum_{i,j} z_{i} \cdot z_{j} - \xi(e \cdot z)$$
$$= A^{2} + 8A - 4C - 4(\beta - \frac{3}{4})A^{2}$$
$$= 4(1 - \beta)A^{2} + 8A - 4C,$$

which implies the new bound

$$C \le (1 - \beta) A^2 + 2A,$$

better than the previous one, since we have replaced  $\frac{1}{4}$  by  $1-\beta < \frac{1}{4}$  in front of the  $A^2$  term.

To improve on the lower bound for C, we consider together with  $F_z$  another harmonic polynomial, namely  $F_e(t) = P_2^{(e)}(t) = (e \cdot t)^2 - \frac{\alpha}{9} (t \cdot t)$ . We have

$$[F_z, F_e] = \sum_i \left( (z_i \cdot e)^2 - \alpha \right) = 4\zeta^2 \alpha^2 A - \alpha A = 4(\beta - 1)\alpha A$$

and  $[F_e, F_e] = (e \cdot e)^2 - \frac{1}{9}\alpha^2 = \frac{8}{9}\alpha^2$ . Using the inequality  $[F_z, F_z] \ge [F_z, F_e]^2 / [F_e, F_e]$ , we obtain

$$8(-A^2 + 10A + C) \ge \frac{16(\beta - 1)^2 \alpha^2 A^2}{(8/9) \alpha^2} = 18(\beta - 1)^2 A^2,$$

i.e.  $C \ge \left(1 + \frac{9}{4} (\beta - 1)^2\right) A^2 - 10A$ , better than the previous lower bound  $C \ge A^2 - 10A$ .

Comparing the last upper and lower bounds for C, we get

$$\left(1 + \frac{9}{4} \left(1 - \beta\right)^2\right) A - 10A \le \left(1 - \beta\right) A^2 + 2A \iff A \le \frac{12}{\frac{9}{4} \beta^2 - \frac{7}{2} \beta + \frac{9}{4}}.$$

The maximum of the right hand side is attained for  $\beta = \frac{7}{9}$ , and is then equal to  $\frac{27}{2} < 14$ . This proves the desired bound  $A \le 13$  and the refinement A < 13 for  $\beta > (91 + 4\sqrt{13})/117 = 0.90104...$ 

**Lemma 11.2.** If  $N(\Lambda^*) = 1$ , then there exists  $e \in S(\Lambda^*)$  with  $2e \notin \Lambda$ .

Proof. It resembles that of Theorem 8.6, and involves many details. Since the proof of Theorem 11.4 only needs a weaker result which could be obtained by the methods of Appendix 4, we only sketch it.

Since e belongs to  $\Lambda^*$  and N(e) is integral, the lattice  $M = \langle \Lambda, e \rangle$  is integral. By Theorem 8.3, M can be embedded in a unimodular lattice M'of dimension  $n' \leq 12$ . Since M contains a vector of norm 1, M' is not isometric to  $\mathbb{D}_{12}^+$ . Hence, we have  $M' \simeq \mathbb{Z}^k$   $(k \leq 12, \text{ and in fact } k = 9 \text{ if we}$ assume that k is minimal) or  $M' \simeq \mathbb{E}_8 \perp \mathbb{Z}^k$   $(k \leq 4)$ . As usual, we denote by  $(\varepsilon_i)$  the canonical basis for  $\mathbb{Z}^k$ .

If  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^9$ , all norm 1 vectors in  $\mathbb{Z}^9$  must belong to  $\Lambda^*$  (see the proof of Theorem 8.6). Hence we have  $2\mathbb{Z}^9 \subset \Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^9$ , which shows that  $\Lambda$  is the pull back of a code of length 9 and weight 3 (together with a vector  $\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j + \varepsilon_k$ ,  $\Lambda$  contains the eight vectors  $\varepsilon_i \pm \varepsilon_j \pm \varepsilon_k$ ). It can be verified that such codes do not exist.

Suppose now that  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{E}_8 \perp \mathbb{Z}^k$ . As in the proof of Theorem 8.6, consider the projection L of  $\Lambda$  on the span of  $\mathbb{E}_8$ . This is a lattice of dimension 8-k, generated by the roots  $\tau \in \mathbb{E}_8$  such that  $\varepsilon_i + \tau \in S(\Lambda)$  for some index i.

We have the inclusion  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^k \perp L$ . If L has a component of type  $\mathbb{A}_{\ell}$ , then  $\min \Lambda^* < 1$ . If  $L \simeq \mathbb{D}_{8-k}$ , then we may embed L into some  $\mathbb{Z}^{\ell}$ . Hence, it suffices to consider the cases where  $L \subset \mathbb{E}_7 \perp \mathbb{Z}^2$  or  $L \subset \mathbb{E}_6 \perp \mathbb{Z}^3$ . These cases are proved to be impossible by arguments like those we used in the proof of Theorem 8.6.

**Theorem 11.3.** Let  $\Lambda$  be a 9-dimensional integral lattice of minimum 3. Then either  $\Lambda$  is isometric  $W_9$ , with s = 34, or  $s(\Lambda) \leq 32$ .

**Proof.** By Theorem 10.6, a hyperplane section of  $\Lambda$  is isometric to  $W_8$ ,  $W_7 \perp W_1$ ,  $W'_8$ , or to one of seven lattices with s = 20, or has  $s \leq 19$ . By a direct computer computation, we have checked that the extensions of these ten lattices either are isometric to  $W_9$ , or have  $s \leq 32$ . Lemmas 11.1 and 11.2 then prove that extensions of the other 7-dimensional lattices have  $s \leq 19 + 13 = 32$ .

[As a matter of fact, extensions of  $W_8$  and of  $W_7 \perp W_1$  are easily dealt with by hand, but this time, such calculations do not suffice to prove the bound  $s \leq 34$ .]

The same method, using Theorem 10.8 (that we have not proved in detail) instead of Theorem 10.6 immediately proves the following more precise result:

**Theorem 11.4.** Let  $\Lambda$  be a 9-dimensional integral lattice of minimum 3. Then either  $\Lambda$  is isometric  $W_9$ , with s = 34, or to one of three lattices with s = 32, or has  $s \leq 31$ .

We conjecture that on the set of 9-dimensional, integral lattices of minimum 3 which are generated by their minimal vectors, the bound  $N(\Lambda^*) < \frac{4}{3}$ can be improved to  $N(\Lambda^*) \leq 1$  (which would then be optimal). Moreover, it might well be that a hyperplane section  $\Lambda_0$  of minimum 3 with  $s(\Lambda) - s(\Lambda_0) \leq n - 1$  exist in dimension 9 as in dimension 8. We could then be able to list all lattices with  $s \leq 27$ . We state the result as a conjecture:

**Conjecture 11.5.** There are 11 integral, well-rounded, 9-dimensional lattices of minimum 3 with  $s \ge 27$ , one with s = 34, two with s = 31 and 30, and three with s = 32 and 28.

We have found well-rounded lattices for small values of s in the range 9 — 26. Thus a consequence of the conjecture above is that the set of possible

values for s on the set of integral, well-rounded, 9-dimensional lattices should be

 $[9, 26] \cup \{28\} \cup [30, 32] \cup \{34\}.$ 

Things are less clear for dimension 10, where the largest known value for s, namely 40, is attained on four lattices, including two "non-Watson" lattices. Experimental data suggest that for n = 10, we have s = 40 or  $s \leq 38$ .

We end this section with a few words about integral lattices of minimum 5. We know that up to n = 6, the set of possible values for s on a well-rounded lattice is the whole interval  $[n, s_5(n)]$  and that  $s_5(n) = s_3(n)$ . (This probably also holds for all  $m \ge 7$  odd.) When n = 7, there is probably a gap: a computer search only found lattices with  $s \in [7, 21] \cup \{27\}$ . Maybe, one could use methods à *la Watson* to prove the inequality  $s \le 21$  on lattices having index  $i \le 2$ , and then prove that there exists a unique lattice of index 3.

Probably, all 8-dimensional lattices have  $s \leq 30$ . However, the bounds we proved or conjectured for lattices of minimum 3 in dimensions 9 or 10 do not extend to larger minima. Indeed, for  $r \equiv 3 \mod 4$ , the unique sublattice of  $\mathbb{A}_r^*$  containing  $\mathbb{A}_r$  to index  $\frac{r+1}{2}$  is proportional to an integral lattice of minimum  $m = \frac{r-1}{2} \equiv 1 \mod 2$ , denoted by  $\operatorname{Cox}_r$ . We have  $\operatorname{Cox}_7 \simeq W_7$ . For  $r \geq 11$ , the lattice  $\operatorname{Cox}_r$  has  $s = \frac{r(r+1)}{2}$ , and possesses sections with  $s = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} + 1$  if n = r - 1 and  $s = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$  if  $n \leq r - 2$ . Hence, for all  $m \geq 5$ odd, lattices with s = 36 > 34 (resp.  $s \geq 45 > 40$ ) exist in dimension n = 9(resp. 10), and we can even have s = 46 for m = 5 and n = 10. Note that for m = 5 (taking r = 11), we obtain the lattices with n = 6 and s = 15of Theorem 7.11 and with n = 5 and s = 10 of Theorem 6.2. A.-M. Bergé ([Be]) has proved that the values of s on sections of  $\operatorname{Cox}_r$  given above are the largest possible for all  $n \geq 4$ .



Appendix 1: 5- and 6-dimensional lattices without a hexagonal section having the same minimum.

$$\begin{split} \lambda_i,\,\lambda_i'\colon \text{classes of index 1 satisfying condition (C1);}\\ \mu_i\colon \text{other classes of index 1;}\;\nu_i\colon \text{classes of index 2.} \end{split}$$

### Figure App1.

Inclusion graphs for NHS minimal classes in dimension 5.

Minimum minimorum. We list below for each class as above the smallest possible minimum for an integral lattice belonging to this class.

 $\begin{array}{rcl} m=&1\,:\,\lambda_{5}\,;\,m=&3\,:\,\lambda_{i},\,i\neq 1,9\,;\,\lambda_{i}'\,;\,m=&4\,:\,\nu_{5},\,\mu_{6}\,;\,m=&5\,:\,\lambda_{9}\,;\\ m=&6\,:\,\mu_{7}\,;\,m=10\,:\,\nu_{6},\,\nu_{7},\,\nu_{8}\,;\,m=16\,:\,\nu_{9}. \end{array}$ 

All classes  $\lambda_i$ ,  $\lambda'_i$  contain integral lattices of any odd minimum  $m \geq 5$ .

## Correspondence with Batut's classification.

 $\lambda_i: a_5, b_6, e_7, m_8, p_9, w_{10}; \lambda'_i: d_6, g_7, j_8;$ 

 $\mu_i: c_6, f_7; \nu_i: b_5, e_6, j_7, p_8, w_9.$ 

[Incidentally, the fifth column of the row  $w_9$  in [Bt], which reproduces that of the row  $u_9$ , is a slip. One should read [36, 88, 2].]

**Dimension 6.** We know that for an integral, well-rounded lattice having an odd minimum, of index i = 1 (resp. 2, resp. 3), we have  $6 \le s \le 12$  (resp.  $6 \le s \le 16$ , resp. s = 12). We have verified more generally that minimal classes having no hexagonal sections and such that  $s \ge 14$  are contained in the class defined by  $W_6$ . In particular, we recover this way Watson's theorem for dimension 6. As for classes of index 1 containing an integral lattice, for s = 7 (resp. 8, 9, 10) there are 2 (resp. 4, 4, 5) classes.

#### J. MARTINET, B. VENKOV

#### Appendix 2: improved bounds in the range 2-24

The second statement in Theorem 3.1 can be made more precise. The proof, which relies on a complicated calculation, resembles that of Lemma 11.1. We state the result without giving its proof.

**Theorem.** Let  $\Lambda$  be an integral lattice of minimum 3 and dimension n in the range 2–24. Suppose that  $\Lambda$  is not unimodular. Let  $e \in S(\Lambda^*)$ ,  $\alpha = N(e)$ ,

$$s_1 = |\{x \in S(\Lambda) \mid e \cdot x = 1\}|,$$

and  $\lambda = \frac{s_1}{s}$ . Then we have

$$s(\Lambda) \le \frac{8n(n+2)}{25-n} - A_1 - A_2$$

where

$$A_1 = \frac{n^2(n+2)(50-n)}{9(n-1)(n+4)(25-n)} \times \frac{(\lambda - 3\alpha/n)^2 s}{\alpha^2}$$

and

$$A_2 = \frac{n}{9(n-1)(n+4)(25-n)} \times \frac{\left(3^3\alpha^2 - (18\alpha - (n+4))(n+2)\lambda\right)^2 s}{\alpha^4}.$$

The restriction that  $\Lambda$  should not be unimodular is necessary: indeed, the proof makes use of the existence of a non-zero reduced vector e, a property which fails for unimodular lattices.

We know that on non-unimodular lattices, equality holds in Theorem 3.1 if and only if n = 7, 16 or 22, and in each case, we know the value of s. An easy calculation shows that  $A = B = 0 \implies \alpha = \frac{n+2}{9}$  and  $\frac{s_1}{s} = \frac{n+2}{3}$ . This gives for n = 7, 16, 22 the following values for s and  $s_0 = s - s_1$ :

$$n = 7$$
:  $s = 28, s_0 = 16$ ;

- n = 16:  $s = 256, s_0 = 190$ ;
- n = 22:  $s = 1408, s_0 = 896$ .

We recognize the values of  $s_0$ , namely those of  $s(\Lambda_0)$  for  $\Lambda_0 = W_6$ ,  $O_{15}$ , and  $O_{22}$  respectively. It should be noticed that our results imply that a lattice of dimension  $n_0 \in \{6, 15, 21\}$ , if not isometric to one of the lattices  $\Lambda_0$  listed above, cannot be embedded in a  $\Lambda$  with dim  $\Lambda = n_0 + 1$  and  $s(\Lambda)$ maximal. The existence of such a lattice has been proved to be impossible if  $n_0 = 6$ , and is very unlikely if  $n_0 = 15$  or 21.

#### Appendix 3: Large values of $\min \Lambda^*$ .

We display the largest known values of min  $\Lambda^*$  for integral lattices of minimum 3 which are generated by their minimal vectors in the range  $1 \le n \le 24$ . We have verified that these bounds are optimal for  $1 \le n \le 7$ ; thanks to the results of Section 7, it then suffices to assume that  $\Lambda$  is well-rounded.

For n = 1, 2, 3, 7, the bounds are even optimal on the set of *all* lattices of minimum 3: for n = 1, 2, because  $\Lambda$  and  $\Lambda^*$  are similar; for n = 3, because  $\gamma'_3$  is attained on  $\mathbb{A}^*_3 \sim W_3$  — cf. [M], Theorem 6.3.4; and for n = 7, by Theorem 8.1. The case n = 5 would follow from a proof of the conjectural value of  $\gamma'_5$ .

The lattice  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^2 \perp \mathbb{E}_6$  constructed in the proof of Theorem 8.6 attains the largest known value (indeed,  $\frac{7}{9}$ ) for n = 8. However, there exists for n = 8 a well-rounded lattice (of index 2) for which min  $\Lambda^*$  outdoes the value given in the table ( $\frac{4}{5} = 0.8 > \frac{7}{9} = 0.77...$ ). Note that the results of Section 7 imply that well-rounded lattices which are not generated by their minimal vectors do not exist in dimensions  $n \leq 7$ .

Next, we find the value 1 for  $9 \le n \le 13$ , and then larger values (2 is attained on  $O_{16}$ , 3 on  $O_{23}$  and  $O_{24}$ ); for n = 10 examples are provided by the lattices related to  $K'_{10}$  or to  $Q_{10}$  which were constructed in Section 2; for n = 9 and  $11 \le n \le 23$ , one may consider convenient sublattices of  $O_{23}$  or Plesken-Pohst lattices (denoted by plp<sub>\*</sub> with suitable subscripts \*), for which Gram matrices can be found in [Bt-M].

n	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
$\min \Lambda^*$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{3}{8}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{3}{4}$	1	$\frac{7}{9}$
n	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
$\min\Lambda^*$	1	1	1	1	1	$\frac{4}{3}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	2
n	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
$\min \Lambda^*$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	2	2	$\frac{8}{3}$	3	3

Large values of min  $\Lambda^*$ 

We list below the lattices which are known to us to meet the bound for dimensions which were not considered above.

 $\underline{n=9}: O_{9a3}, O_{9b2}; \underline{n=11}: W_{11a}, W_{11b}, O_{11};$ 

 $\underline{n=12}$  :  $W_{12},\,O_{12a},\,O_{12b}$  ;  $\underline{n=13}$ :  $W_{13},\,O_{13a},\,O_{13b},\,\mathrm{plp}_{13a}$  ;

<u>n = 14, 15, 16</u>:  $O_n$ ; <u>n = 17</u>:  $plp_{17c}$ ; <u>n = 18</u>:  $plp_{18c}$ ,  $plp_{18d}$ ;

 $\underline{n=19}: O_{19}, \operatorname{plp}_{19d}; \underline{n=20 \text{ to } 24}: O_n.$ 

#### J. MARTINET, B. VENKOV

#### Appendix 4: On lattices with $\min \Lambda^* = 1$ .

We shall now consider bounds for  $S_1$  for lattices  $\Lambda$  with min  $\Lambda^* = 1$  for which all norm 1 vectors in  $\Lambda^*$  satisfy the conditions of Lemma 9.3. We assume that these lattices are generated by their minimal vectors.

**Proposition.** Let  $e \in \Lambda^*$ . If N(e) = 1 and  $2e \in \Lambda$ , then  $\overline{S}_1$  is a root system of type  $\kappa A_1$  for some  $\kappa \in [1, n-1]$ . (Otherwise stated,  $S_1$  consists of  $\kappa \leq n-1$  pairs  $\pm z$  of norm 2 vectors.)

Proof. Let 2e = x + y,  $x, y \in S_1$ . For any  $z \neq x, y$  in  $S_1$ , set t = x + y - z. Then t is an element of S such that  $t \cdot e = 1$ , hence an element of  $S_1$ , and we have  $t \cdot z = 2e \cdot z - z \cdot z = -1$ , which shows that  $\overline{t} = -\overline{z}$ . Moreover we have  $x \cdot z + y \cdot z = 2e \cdot z = 2$ , hence  $x \cdot z = y \cdot z = 1$  since both terms are bounded from above by 1, whence  $\overline{x} \cdot \overline{z} = \overline{y} \cdot \overline{z} = 0$ . Since  $N(\overline{z}) = N(z) - 1 = 2$  for every  $z, \overline{S}_1$  is a root system of type  $\kappa A_1$  for some  $\kappa \leq \dim \mathbb{R}e^{\perp} = n - 1$ , and  $\kappa$  is not zero because  $\Lambda$  is well rounded.

Denote by  $r_i$   $(i = 1, ..., \kappa)$  the elements of  $\overline{S}_1$  (the roots of the system  $\kappa A_1$  above), let P be the lattice they generate, let V be the span of P, and let  $P_0$  the lattice of index 2 in P (a scaled copy of  $\mathbb{D}_{\kappa}$ ) generated by the  $r_i \pm r_j$ . We have  $P_0 = \Lambda \cap P = \Lambda_{even} \cap P$ . Up to permutation and change of signs of the  $r_i$ , a norm 3 vector  $f \in \Lambda \cap V$  is of the form  $f = \frac{r_1 + \dots + r_6}{2}$ : indeed, 2f, of norm 12 is a sum  $2r_1 + r_2 + r_3$  or  $r_1 + \dots + r_6$ , and the first case must be excluded, since then  $(e + r_1) \cdot f = 2$ . Together with  $f, \Lambda \cap V$  contains all vectors which differ from f by an even number of sign changes, and the supports of such vectors f constitute a self-dual code of length  $\kappa$  and weight 6. When  $\kappa = n - 1$ , we recover the construction we gave at the end of Section 2.

We have seen in the previous sections that for  $n \leq 9$ , N(e) = 1 and  $e \in S_1 + S_1$  occurs only for  $\Lambda \simeq W_7$ . We could show that this is also impossible for n = 10. The discussion above proves immediately the weaker result  $\kappa \leq n-2$  for  $n \leq 10$  to within the unique exception of  $W_7$ , which corresponds to the fact that every root in  $\mathbb{E}_7$  is a sum x + y with  $x, y \in \frac{1}{2}S(\mathbb{E}_7^*)$ .

It is relatively easy to handle the cases where  $\kappa = n - 3$ , for which  $\Lambda$  contains the lattice  $\Lambda'$  generated by the vectors  $e \pm r_i$  together with some norm 3 vector  $e' \in (\mathbb{Q}e)^{\perp} \setminus V$ . Using this device, we can obtain a short proof for n = 9 of the bound  $\kappa \leq n - 3 = 6$ , which can be used in place of Lemma 11.2 to prove Theorem 11.4.

#### References

- [B-G] C. Bachoc, Ph. Gaborit, Designs and self-dual codes with long shadows, J. Combin. Theory, series A, 105 (2004), 15–34.
- [Bt] C. Batut, Classification of quintic eutactic forms, Math. Comp. 70 (2001), 395–417.
- [Bt-M] C. Batut, J. Martinet, A Catalogue of Perfect Lattices, http://www.math.u-bordeaux.fr/~martinet.
- [Be] A.-M. Bergé, On certain Coxeter lattices without perfect sections, J. Algebraic Combinatorics (2004, to appear).
- [PARI] H. Cohen et al. (C. Batut, K. Belabas, D. Bernardi, M. Olivier), User's Guide to PARI, http://www.parigp-home.de.
- [C-E] H. Cohn, N. Elkies, New upper bounds on sphere packings I, Annals of Math. 157 (2003), 689-714.
- [C-S] J.H. Conway, N.J.A. Sloane, Sphere Packings, Lattices and Groups, Grundlehren 290, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg (1988; third edition: 1993).
- [C-S1] J.H. Conway, N.J.A. Sloane, Low-Dimensional Lattices V. Integral Coordinates for Integral Lattices, Proc. Royal Soc. London A 426 (1989), 211–232.
- [C-S2] J.H. Conway, N.J.A. Sloane, On Lattices Equivalent to Their Duals, J. Number Theory 48 (1994), 373–382.
- [J] D-O. Jaquet, Énumération complète des classes de formes parfaites en dimension 7, Thèse, Neuchâtel (1991).
- [M] J. Martinet, Perfect Lattices in Euclidean Spaces, Grundlehren 327, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg (2003); updated English version of "Les réseaux parfaits des espaces euclidiens", Masson (now Dunod), Paris (1996).
- [M1] J. Martinet, Sur l'indice d'un sous-réseau (with an appendix by C. Batut), in Réseaux euclidiens, designs sphériques et groupes, L'Ens. Math., Monographie 37, J. Martinet, ed., Genève (2001), 163–211.
- [N-V] G. Nebe, B. Venkov, The strongly perfect lattices in dimension 10, J. Th. Nombres de Bordeaux 12 (2000), 503–518.
- [Neu] A. Neumaier, On norm 3 vectors in integral Euclidean lattices I, Math. Z. 183 (1983), 565–574.
- [Pl-P] W. Plesken, M. Pohst, Constructing integral lattices with prescribed minimum. I, Math. Comp. 45 (1985), 209–221 and S5–S16.
- [S-Y] E. Shult, A. Yanushka, Near n-gons and line systems, Geom. Dedicata 9 (1980), 1–72.
- [V] B.B. Venkov, *Réseaux et designs sphériques* (Notes by J. Martinet), in Réseaux euclidiens, designs sphériques et groupes, L'Ens. Math., Monographie 37, J. Martinet, ed., Genève (2001), 10–86.
- [W1] G.L. Watson, On the minimum points of a positive quadratic form, Mathematika 18 (1971), 60–70.

### J. MARTINET, B. VENKOV

[W2] G.L. Watson, The number of minimum points of a positive quadratic form having no perfect binary section with the same minimum, Proc. London Math. Soc. 24 (1972), 625–646.

Jacques Martinet, A2X Inst. Math., Université Bordeaux 1 351, cours de la Libération 33405 Talence cedex, France *e-mail:* martinet@math.u-bordeaux.fr Boris Venkov, POMI Fontanka 27 191011 St.Petersbourg Russia bvenkov@pdmi.ras.ru