

Classification of positive forms having prescribed automorphisms

by A.-M. Bergé

1. Introduction

Fix an integer $n \geq 2$, and a finite subgroup G of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$. We denote by \mathcal{S}_G the space of real $n \times n$ symmetric matrices A which are G -invariant, i. e. such that

$${}^t g A g = A \quad \text{for all } g \in G.$$

The centralizer $\mathrm{SL}_G(\mathbb{Z})$ of G in $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$

$$\mathrm{SL}_G(\mathbb{Z}) = \{u \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \mid g^{-1} u g = u \text{ for all } g \in G\}$$

acts on \mathcal{S}_G by equivalence, and we say that A and $B \in \mathcal{S}_G$ are G -integrally equivalent if there exists $u \in \mathrm{SL}_G(\mathbb{Z})$ such that ${}^t u A u = B$.

For a positive definite matrix $A \in \mathcal{S}_G$ (there exist such matrices, for instance $\sum_{g \in G} {}^t g g$) we denote by $\min A = \min({}^t \mathbf{x} A \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\})$ the arithmetic minimum of the associated quadratic form, and by $S(A)$ the set of its minimal vectors (for which ${}^t \mathbf{x} A \mathbf{x} = \min A$). We denote by $\mathcal{P}_G \subset \mathcal{S}_G$ the variety of positive definite A 's in \mathcal{S}_G scaled so as to have minimum $\min A = 1$. We classify these matrices by their minimal vectors. More explicitly, the G -cell of $A \in \mathcal{P}_G$ is the set of matrices $M \in \mathcal{P}_G$ such that $S(M) = S(A)$; this is a convex polyhedron, bounded if and only if the set $S(A)$ spans \mathbb{R}^n . This cellular decomposition of \mathcal{P}_G , first introduced by Štogrin in [S] for the usual case (i.e. when G is trivial), was extended to the general case by J. Martinet and the author (cf. [BM2]). We also proved in [BM2] that up to G -integral equivalence, there are only finitely many bounded G -cells. In section 2 of the present paper we make explicit the splitting of a class of integrally equivalent G -matrices into several classes of G -equivalence, and we give the “mass formula with signs” for bounded G -cells due to C. Bavard ([Ba]). The remainder of this paper is devoted to the case of the regular representation of a cyclic group. Section 3 gives a description of the corresponding arithmetic groups, and their Euler characteristic. Tables in section 4 show the complete classification up to dimension 5, providing checks on Bavard's formula.

To describe a given G -cell, we generally use an outstanding representative connected to the study on this cell of the Hermite function

$$\gamma(A) = \min A (\det A)^{-1/n}.$$

Let $A \in \mathcal{P}_G$ be a G -invariant matrix: its inverse A^{-1} as well as the matrices $({}^t \mathbf{x} A \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \in S(A))$ are invariant under the group ${}^t G = \{{}^t g, g \in G\}$. We say that A is *eutactic* (resp. *weakly eutactic*) if A^{-1} belongs to the convex hull (resp. the linear span) of $({}^t \mathbf{x} A \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \in S(A))$. In a given G -cell c , there exists at most one matrix A where the strictly log-convex Hermite function γ attains its minimum; in a joint work with Martinet ([BM3]) we characterized this A in its cell by the property of weak eutaxy. Note that if A is weakly eutactic,

the set $S(A)$ spans the space \mathbb{R}^n . So, in order to enumerate the weakly eutactic G -matrices, we only need classify bounded cells. Among the weakly eutactic G -matrices, the eutactic ones and the G -perfect ones (whose cells have affine dimension 0) are of particular interest, as eutaxy plus G -perfection characterizes the local maxima on \mathcal{P}_G of the Hermite function ([BM1]). In the case of the cyclic group investigated in sections 3 and 4, we note that G -perfect matrices are indeed perfect, except for one quintic matrix (which first appeared in [BM1]), and that the root lattice \mathbb{D}_4 does not appear since it does not afford the right representation.

I thank J.-P. Serre for his helpful correspondance about the computation of Euler characteristic. I also thank C. Bavard, J. Martinet and F. Sigrist for useful discussions.

2. Euler formula for G -cells

Let c be a bounded G -cell, and S the set of minimal vectors of the matrices $A \in c$. By assumption, S spans \mathbb{R}^n , so the stabilizer $\text{Aut}_G c$ of c in $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$, which also stabilizes S , is finite.

Lemma. *Let $k = |\text{Aut}_G c|$ be the order of this group. Then up to G -integral equivalence there are at most $k^{|G|}$ G -cells integrally equivalent to c .*

Proof. Let $u \in \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$. Then the group G acts on the cell tucu if and only if ugu^{-1} belongs to $\text{Aut}_G c$ for all $g \in G$. On the other hand, if u and v in $\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ satisfy $ugu^{-1} = vgv^{-1}$ for all $g \in G$, then $v^{-1}u$ belongs in the centralizer $\text{SL}_G(\mathbb{Z})$, and the cells tucu and tvcv are G -integrally equivalent.

Remark. The estimate $k^{|G|}$ is very rough, and can be replaced by k in the cyclic case, and by 2 in the cases of section 4.

In the following, we denote by $\text{Aut}_G^+ c = \{u \in \text{SL}_G(\mathbb{Z}) \mid {}^tucu = c\}$ the stabilizer in $\text{SL}_G(\mathbb{Z})$ of the G -cell c , and by $\dim c$ its affine dimension.

Theorem (Bavard). *Let \mathcal{B}_G be a full set of representatives of the bounded G -cells modulo G -integral equivalence. Then*

$$\sum_{c \in \mathcal{B}_G} \frac{(-1)^{\dim c}}{|\text{Aut}_G^+ c|} = \chi(\text{SL}_G(\mathbb{Z})),$$

where χ stands for the Euler characteristic.

In the case of the whole set of positive matrices, this formula has been checked up to dimension 5, together with the Ash formula (cf. [A]) for eutactic matrices:

for $2 \leq n \leq 4$ there are 25 inequivalent cells, all of them but one with a weakly-eutactic representative (cf. [BM2]). Beyond, the combinatorics seemed untractable, but C. Batut recently developed in [B] an algorithm, which produced 136 cells in dimension 5, among which 127 contain a weakly eutactic representative.

3. Application to the case of the regular representation of the cyclic group of order n

The group G is generated by the permutation matrix

$$\pi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix};$$

the G -invariant matrices are *circulant* matrices (i.e. with a constant diagonal and whose rows are deduced from the first one by circular permutation). The space \mathcal{S}_G of the symmetric invariant matrices is of dimension $1 + \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$, as the first row (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) of such a matrix satisfies $a_i = a_j$ if $i + j = n + 2$.

We now discuss the Euler characteristic of the centralizer $\mathrm{SL}_G(\mathbb{Z})$ of G in $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$. We denote by I_n the unit matrix in $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$. For even n , we denote by G^+ the subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ generated by $\pm I_n$ and π^2 .

Proposition.

- (1) $\chi(\mathrm{SL}_G(\mathbb{Z})) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n} & \text{if } n = 2, 3, 4 \text{ or } 6, \\ 0 & \text{if } n = 5 \text{ or } n \geq 7. \end{cases}$
- (2) $\mathrm{SL}_G(\mathbb{Z}) = G^+$ (resp. G) for $n = 2, 4, 6$ (resp. $n = 3$). For $n = 5$, $\mathrm{SL}_G(\mathbb{Z})$ is generated by G and the circulant matrix σ with first row $(-1, 0, 1, 1, 0)$.
- (3) Let c be a bounded G -cell. Then $\mathrm{Aut}_G^+ c = G^+$ (resp. G) for $n = 2, 4, 6$ (resp. $n = 3, 5$).

Proof. The group $\mathrm{SL}_G(\mathbb{Z})$ is abelian, and has positive Euler characteristic if and only if it is finite. Explicitly, the centralizer of G in $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic to the group of units in some order of the semi-simple algebra $\mathbb{Q}[G]$, and its rank is that of the group of units $U_n \simeq \prod_{d|n} E_d$ of the maximal order, where E_d denotes the group of units of the d th cyclotomic field. As the rank of E_n is positive except for $n \leq 4$ and $n = 6$, the part of (1) concerning these values of n is done. For the exceptional values of n , the group $\mathrm{SL}_G(\mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic to the group μ_n of the n th roots of unity, it follows that it has order n , which completes the proof of (1) and

transforms the obvious inclusions of G (for $n = 3$) or G^+ (for even n 's) in $\mathrm{SL}_G(\mathbb{Z})$ into equalities. For $n = 5$, $\mathrm{SL}_G(\mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic to $\mu_5 \times \mathbb{Z}$, and σ corresponds to the unit $\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}$ in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$. To prove (3), we use obvious inclusions and (for $n = 5$) a finiteness argument.

Remark. For $n = 2, 3, 4, 6$, the group $\mathrm{SL}_G(\mathbb{Z})$ acts trivially on the G -matrices (in other words G -integral equivalence is plain equality).

The rest of the paper is devoted to the complete explicit enumeration of G -cells up to dimension 5. We made use of the previous classifications of the whole set of matrices ([BM3], [B]). To find whether the regular representation (up to integral conjugacy) acts on a given class c , we used a rational matrix $E \in c$ fixed by the stabilizer $\mathrm{Aut} c$ of the class. Such a matrix can be constructed from any rational matrix $A \in c$ by the usual averaging formula:

$$E = \frac{1}{|\mathrm{Aut} c|} \sum_{g \in \mathrm{Aut} c} {}^t g A g,$$

(see [B], prop. 2.4). We then proceeded in the following way, that we explain for $n = 4$ (the most tedious case). Let $\det E$ and m be the determinant and minimum of E rescaled so as to be integral. A circulant matrix with first row (a, b, c, b) has determinant $(a - c)^2(a + 2b + c)(a - 2b + c)$, where a , $2(a - c)$, $4(a + 2b + c)$ et $4(a - 2b + c)$ are values of the quadratic form on integral vectors. In order to identify this matrix with E , we have to solve in integers the equation $(a - c)^2(a + 2b + c)(a - 2b + c) = \det E$, with $a \geq m$, $a - c \geq \frac{m}{2}$ and $a \pm 2b + c \geq \frac{m}{4}$. And eventually we test the integral equivalence of E with the invariant matrix associated to each solution (a, b, c) . The computations were carried out with the PARI system.

4. Tables

In the following we give, for $n \leq 5$, the full lists of bounded G -cells up to equivalence, and the checks on Bavard's formula. In the tables, there is one line for each cell c , displaying its dimension $d = \dim c$, its eutactic representative E (as it turned out that they all possess one), described by the first $1 + \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ entries of its top row; we sometimes name the corresponding lattice L (up to rescalation), making use of the usual notation ($\mathbb{A}_n, \mathbb{D}_n$ for the root lattices, \mathbb{A}_n^r for the Coxeter lattices, L^* for the dual lattice of L). We also indicate the number s of pairs $\pm \mathbf{x}$ of minimal vectors, and the number o of G -orbits of them. In the second column we indicate the "weight" w of the cell in the mass formula, namely the number of matrices integrally equivalent to E which are not G -integrally equivalent.

- $n = 2$

d	w	E	L	s	o
0	2	$(1, \frac{-1}{2})$	\mathbb{A}_2	3	2
1	1	$(1, 0)$	$(\mathbb{A}_1)^2$	2	1

Mass formula : $(2 - 1)\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$.

- $n = 3$

d	w	E	L	s	o
0	1	$(1, \frac{1}{2})$	\mathbb{A}_3	6	2
0	1	$(1, \frac{-1}{3})$	\mathbb{A}_3^*	4	2
1	1	$(1, 0)$	$(\mathbb{A}_1)^3$	3	1

Mass formula : $(1 + 1 - 1)\frac{1}{3} = \frac{1}{3}$.

- $n = 4$

d	w	E	L	s	o
0	2	$(1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$	\mathbb{A}_4	10	3
0	2	$(1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4})$	L_4^2	9	3
0	2	$(1, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{-1}{2})$		7	3
1	2	$(1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}-1}{2})$		8	2
1	2	$(1, 0, \frac{1}{2})$	$(\mathbb{A}_2)^2$	6	2
1	2	$(1, \frac{-1}{4}, \frac{-1}{4})$	\mathbb{A}_4^*	5	2
2	1	$(1, 0, 0)$	$(\mathbb{A}_1)^4$	4	1

Mass formula: $(6 - 6 + 1)\frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{4}$.

- $n = 5$

d	w	E	L	s	o
0	1	$(1, 0, \frac{1}{2})$	\mathbb{D}_5	20	4
0	1	$(1, \frac{-1}{2}, \frac{1}{4})$	\mathbb{A}_5^3	15	3
0	1	$(1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$	\mathbb{A}_5	15	3
0	2	$(1, \frac{-1}{2}, \frac{1}{10})$		11	3
1	2	$(1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{4})$		10	2
1	2	$(1, \frac{-1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{13}-3}{4})$		10	2
1	1	$(1, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{-1}{3})$	\mathbb{A}_5^2	10	2
1	1	$(1, \frac{-1}{5}, \frac{-1}{5})$	\mathbb{A}_5^*	6	2
1	1	$(\frac{13}{10}, \frac{-4}{5}, \frac{1}{4})$		6	2
2	1	$(\frac{5}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{-3}{4})$	\mathbb{D}_5^*	5	1
2	1	$(1, 0, 0)$	$(\mathbb{A}_1)^5$	5	1

$$\text{Mass formula: } (5 - 4 - 3 + 2) \frac{1}{5} = 0.$$

REFERENCES

- [A] A. Ash, *On the existence of eutactic forms*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **12** (1980), 192–196.
- [B] C. Batut, *Classification of quintic eutactic forms*, Math. Comp., to appear.
- [Ba] C. Bavard, *Une formule d'Euler pour les classes minimales de réseaux*, preprint.
- [BM1] A.-M. Bergé, J. Martinet, *Réseaux extrêmes pour un groupe d'automorphismes*, Astérisque **198–200** (1992), 41–66.
- [BM2] A.-M. Bergé, J. Martinet, *Densité dans des familles de réseaux. Application aux réseaux isoduaux*, L'enseignement Mathématique **41** (1995), 335–365.
- [BM3] A.-M. Bergé, J. Martinet, *Sur la classification des réseaux eutactiques*, J. London Math. Soc. **53** (1996), 417–432.
- [S] M.I. Štogrin, *Locally densest lattice packings of spheres*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **15** (1974).

Laboratoire A2X, Université Bordeaux 1,
 351 cours de la Libération, F33405 Talence FRANCE.
 e-mail berge@math.u-bordeaux.fr